arXiv:solv-int/9912015v1 27 Dec 1999

Vector NLS hierarchy solitons revisited: dressing transformation and tau function approach

Harold Blas

Instituto de Física Teórica - IFT/UNESP
Rua Pamplona 145
01405-900 São Paulo-SP, BRAZIL

Abstract

We discuss some algebraic aspects of the integrable vector non-linear Schrödinger hierarchies (GNLS$_r$). These are hierarchies of zero-curvature equations constructed from affine Kac-Moody algebras $\hat{sl}_{r+1}$. Using the dressing transformation method and the tau-function formalism, we construct the N-soliton solutions of the GNLS$_r$ systems. The explicit matrix elements in the case of GNLS$_1$ are computed using level one vertex operator representations.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the $1+1$-dimensional non-linear Schrödinger (**NLS**) equation is integrable and possesses exact soliton solutions [1]. It has been known that many soliton equations in $1+1$ dimensions have integrable matrix generalizations, or more generally, integrable multi-component generalizations. The most well-known example for the multi-component case is the vector **NLS** equation, first studied by Manakov [2]. These type of equations and their higher-order generalizations find applications in non-linear optics (for a complete review of the most important references in the field see [3]). The multi-soliton type solutions of these hierarchies can be obtained using diverse methods. For example, in [4] the vector **NLS** equation has been studied in the framework of the inverse scattering method, the bright and dark multi-soliton solutions and their collisions have been studied.

One of the most fascinating applications of the Kac-Moody theory and its affine Lie algebras and their relevant groups is to exhibit hidden symmetries of soliton equations. According to the approach of [5] a common feature of integrable hierarchies presenting soliton solutions is the existence of some special "vacuum solutions" such that the Lax operators evaluated on them lie in some abelian subalgebra of the associated Kac-Moody algebra. The soliton type solutions are constructed out of those "vacuum solutions" through the so called dressing transformation procedure. These developments lead to a quite general definition of tau functions associated to the hierarchies, in terms of the so called "integrable highest weight representations" of the relevant Kac-Moody algebra.

In this paper we obtain the multi-soliton solutions of the vector **NLS** equation using the dressing transformation method. We believe that the group theoretical point of view of finding the analitical results for the general case of N-soliton interactions could facilitate the study of their properties; for example, the asymptotic behaviour of trains of N solitonlike pulses with approximately equal amplitudes and velocities, as studied in [6]. The second point we shoul highlight relies upon the possible relevance of the **NLS** tau functions to its higher-order generalizations. We believe that the tau functions of the higher-order **NLS** generalization are related somehow to the basic tau functions of the usual (vector) **NLS** equations (this fact is observed for example in the case of the coupled **NLS**+**DNLS** system [7, 8], in the second Ref. Hirota's method has been used).

The plan is as follows. In section 2 we review the theory of the dressing transformations and the definition of the tau-function vectors. In section 3 we present the construction of the vector **NLS** equations (GNLS$_r$) associated to the homogeneous gradation of the Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{sl}_{r+1}$, their relevant tau functions are defined and the construction of multiple-soliton solutions uotlined. In section 4 we present a detailed study of the GNLS$_1$ case; the first conserved charges are constructed in the context of this formalism and the explicit form of the N-soliton solutions are presented. Finally, for the sake of completeness, we have included Kac-Moody algebra notations and conventions, as well as, its well known theory of integrable highest weight representations, and level one homogeneous vertex operator representations (see appendices A, B, C). Some of the details regarding the matrix elements appear in the appendices D, E and F.

2 Dressing Transformations

Consider a non linear system which can be formulated in terms of a system of first-order differential equations

$$\mathcal{L}_N \mathbf{\Psi} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_N$ are Lax operators of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_N = \frac{\partial}{\partial_{t_N}} - A_N \tag{2.2}$$

and the variables t_N are the various "times" of the hierarchy.

Then, the equations of the hierarchy are equivalent to the integrability or zero curvature conditions of (2.1),

$$[\mathcal{L}_N \, , \, \mathcal{L}_M] = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore the Lax operators are of the form of a pure gauge

$$A_N = \frac{\partial \mathbf{\Psi}}{\partial_{t_N}} \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

The type of integrable hierarchy considered here is based on a Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{g}$ furnished with an integer gradation labelled by a vector $s = (s_o, s_1, ..., s_r)$ of $r + 1$ non-negative co-prime integers such that

$$\widehat{g} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{g}_i(s) \qquad \text{and} \qquad [\widehat{g}_i(s) \, , \, \widehat{g}_j(s)] \subseteq \widehat{g}_{i+j}(s). \tag{2.5}$$

The connections we consider are of the form

$$A_N = \sum_{i=0}^{l} A_{N,i} \qquad \text{where} \qquad A_{N,i} \in \widehat{g}_i(s). \tag{2.6}$$

The "dressing transformations" are non local gauge transformations on A_N which maintain their form and gradation [5, 9]. Each of these gauge transformations is made with the help of two group elements Θ_+ and Θ_- , such that

$$A_N \to A_N^h \equiv \Theta_\pm A_N \Theta_\pm^{-1} + \partial_N \Theta_\pm \Theta_\pm^{-1} \tag{2.7}$$

or

$$A_N^h = \frac{\partial (\Theta_\pm \mathbf{\Psi})}{\partial_{t_N}} (\Theta_\pm \mathbf{\Psi})^{-1} \tag{2.8}$$

We have a residual gauge transformation in (2.8)

$$\mathbf{\Psi} \to \mathbf{\Psi} h, \tag{2.9}$$

where h is a constant group element. Therefore we can impose

$$\Theta_+ \mathbf{\Psi} = \Theta_- \mathbf{\Psi} h \tag{2.10}$$

or equivalently

$$\Theta_-^{-1}\Theta_+ = \mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1} \tag{2.11}$$

$\Theta_-\Psi$ defines a new solution

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^h = \Theta_- \mathbf{\Psi} \tag{2.12}$$

We admit a Gauss decomposition with respect to the gradation

$$\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_- \left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_0 \left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_+ \tag{2.13}$$

We choose (see (2.11))

$$\Theta_- = (\left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_-)^{-1} \tag{2.14}$$

and therefore (2.12) can be written as

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^h = (\left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_-)^{-1}\mathbf{\Psi} = \Theta_+ \mathbf{\Psi} h^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_0 \left(\mathbf{\Psi} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}\right)_+ \mathbf{\Psi} h^{-1} \tag{2.15}$$

where we used (2.10) and (2.11). Ψ^h in (2.15) is also a solution of the linear problem (2.2).

We shall consider solutions which belong to the orbits of the vacuum solutions.

Define

$$\Theta_-^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_-, \quad B^{-1} = \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_0 \tag{2.16}$$

$$N = \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega = B^{-1}N. \tag{2.17}$$

Therefore the dressing transformations generated by h becomes

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} \to \mathbf{\Psi}^h = \Theta_- \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} = \Omega \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h^{-1}. \tag{2.18}$$

Denote by $\mid \lambda_i >$ the state of highest weight of a fundamental representation such that $s_i \neq 0$.

Define the tau-function vector [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(t^\pm) &= \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right) |\lambda_i\rangle \\ &= \Theta_-^{-1} B^{-1} |\lambda_i\rangle, \qquad i = 0, 1, ..., r; \qquad s_i \neq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Note that $N \mid \lambda_i >=\mid \lambda_i >$ and

$$\tau_i^{(0)}(t^\pm) = B^{-1}|\lambda_i\rangle = |\lambda_i\rangle\, \widehat{\tau}_i^{(0)}(t^\pm), \tag{2.20}$$

since $\mid \lambda_i >$ is an eigenstate of the sublagebra $\widehat{g}_o(s)$. Then

$$\widehat{\tau}_i^{(0)}(t^\pm) = \langle \lambda_o | \left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right]_{(o)} |\lambda_o\rangle, \tag{2.21}$$

Using (2.19) we obtain

$$\Theta_-^{-1}|\lambda_i\rangle = \frac{\tau_i(t^\pm)}{\widehat{\tau}_i^{(0)}(t^\pm)} \tag{2.22}$$

3 The τ function and the N soliton solution for GNLS$_r$

The GNLS$_r$ model is constructed for example in [10], where it was studied in the framework of the Zakharov-Shabat formalism and the context of hermitian symmetric spaces. In [11] an affine Lie algebraic foundation (loop algebra $g\otimes \mathbf{C}[\lambda,\lambda^{-1}]$ of g) for GNLS$_r$ was given. Here instead we will consider the full Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{sl}(r+1)$ with homogeneous gradation

$$s=(1,\overbrace{0,0,...,0}^{r-zeros}), \tag{3.1}$$

and a semisimple element $E^{(l)}$.

The connections are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1\equiv A &= E^{(1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^+E_{\beta_i}^{(0)}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^-E_{-\beta_i}^{(0)}+\nu_1 C, & (3.2)\\ A_2\equiv B &= E^{(2)}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^+E_{\beta_i}^{(1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^-E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\partial_x\Psi_i^+E_{\beta_i}^{(0)}- \\ &\sum_{i=1}^{r}\partial_x\Psi_i^-E_{-\beta_i}^{(0)}-\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^+\Psi_i^-\beta_i.H^{(0)}-\sum_{i,j=1}^{r}\Psi_i^+\Psi_i^-\epsilon\left(\beta_i,\beta_j\right)E_{\beta_i-\beta_j}^{(0)}+\nu_2 C, & (3.3)\end{aligned}$$

where Ψ_i^+, Ψ_i^-, ν_1 and ν_2 are the fields of the model.

We have

$$E^{(l)}=2\frac{\mu_r.H^{(l)}}{\alpha_r^2},\quad \left[D,E^{(l)}\right]=lE^{(l)} \tag{3.4}$$

where μ_r is a fundamental weight and α_a are the simple roots of the $sl(r+1)$ algebra. The β_i are the positive roots defined by

$$\beta_i=\alpha_i+\alpha_{i+1}+...+\alpha_r,\quad \text{with}\quad \alpha_i^2=2, \tag{3.5}$$

and H_i being the generators of the cartan subalgebra in the Weyl-Cartan basis. We need also some relations in the Chevalley basis

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{1}{r+1}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{r}a\mathbf{H}_a^{(o)}\right),\quad \text{with}\quad \mathbf{H}_a=\alpha_a.H^{(o)} \\ \mu_r &= \frac{1}{r+1}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{r}a\alpha_a\right),\quad \beta_a.H=\mathbf{H}_a+...+\mathbf{H}_r,\quad a=1,...,r & (3.6)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E^{(m)},\mathbf{H}_a^{(n)}\right] &= \frac{m}{r+1}\sum_{a=1}^{r}a\eta_{ab}C\delta_{m,-n}, \\ \left[E^{(m)},E_{\pm\beta_i}^{(n)}\right] &= \pm E_{\pm\beta_i}^{(m+n)}, \\ \left[\mathbf{H}_a^{(m)},E_{\pm\beta_i}^{(n)}\right] &= \pm\left(\sum_{b=i}^{r}K_{ba}\right)E_{\pm\beta_i}^{(m+n)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\eta_{ab} = \frac{2}{\alpha_2^2} K_{ab} = \eta_{ba} \qquad \text{and} \qquad K_{ab} = 2\frac{\alpha_a \cdot \alpha_b}{\alpha_b^2} \cdot \tag{3.7}$$

The potentials are in the subspaces

$$A_1 \in \widehat{g}_o(s) + \widehat{g}_1(s), \quad A_2 \in \widehat{g}_o(s) + \widehat{g}_1(s) + \widehat{g}_2(s) \tag{3.8}$$

The zero curvature condition $[\partial_t - B\,, \partial_x - A] = 0$ gives the following system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Psi_i^+ &= \partial_x^2 \Psi_i^+ - 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \Psi_j^+ \Psi_j^- \right) \Psi_i^+, \\ \partial_t \Psi_i^- &= -\partial_x^2 \Psi_i^- + 2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \Psi_j^+ \Psi_j^- \right) \Psi_i^-, \\ \partial_t \nu_1 - \partial_x \nu_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The system of equations for the $\Psi_j^\pm$ fields in (3.9), supplied with a convenient complexification of the time variable and the fields, are related to the well known integrable vector non-linear Schrödinger equation (vector **NLS**) [2, 4, 12].

Let us now study the vacuum solutions and dressing transformations. Let us note that $\Psi_i^\pm = \nu_1 = \nu_2 = 0$ is a solution of equations (3.9). Therefore from (3.3) we have the connections

$$A_1^{(vac)} \equiv A^{(vac)} = E^{(1)}, \quad A_2^{(vac)} \equiv B^{(vac)} = E^{(2)}, \tag{3.10}$$

These connections can be obtained from $A_N^{(vac)} = \partial_{t_N} \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}$ from the group element

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} = e^{xE^{(1)} + tE^{(2)} + X}, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$X = \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} t_n E^{(n)}, \;\; t_n \;\text{ are real parameters.} \tag{3.12}$$

The connections in the vacuum orbit are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \Theta_- E^{(1)} \Theta_-^{-1} + \partial_x \Theta_- \Theta_-^{-1} \\ &= M^{-1} N E^{(1)} N^{-1} M - M^{-1} \partial_x M + M^{-1} \partial_x N N^{-1} M, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \Theta_- E^{(2)} \Theta_-^{-1} + \partial_t \Theta_- \Theta_-^{-1} \\ &= M^{-1} N E^{(2)} N^{-1} M - M^{-1} \partial_t M + M^{-1} \partial_t N N^{-1} M, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

with

$$\Theta_- = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \sigma_{-n} \right), \qquad M = \exp\left(\sigma_o\right), \quad N = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0} \sigma_n \right) \tag{3.15}$$

In the present case the gradation operator is $Q_s = D$ with

$$[D, \sigma_n] = n\sigma_n. \tag{3.16}$$

We can relate the fields $\Psi_i^{\pm}$, ν_1 and ν_2 with some of the components of σ_n. We have

$$A = E^{(1)} + \left[\sigma_{-1}, E^{(1)}\right] + \text{ terms of negative grade.} \tag{3.17}$$

$$= M^{-1}\left(E^{(1)} - \partial_x M.M^{-1} + \partial_x \sigma_1\right) M + \text{ terms of grade} > 1 \tag{3.18}$$

$$\begin{gathered} B = E^{(2)} + \left[\sigma_{-1}, E^{(2)}\right] + \left[\sigma_{-2}, E^{(2)}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{-1}, \left[\sigma_{-1}, E^{(2)}\right]\right] + \\ \text{terms of negative grade} \\ = M^{-1}\left(E^{(2)} - \partial_t M.M^{-1} + \partial_t \sigma_1 + \partial_t \sigma_2 + [\sigma_1, \partial_t \sigma_1]\right) M + \\ \text{terms of grade } > 2 \end{gathered} \tag{3.19}$$

In (3.17) the term of degree -1 must vanish and therefore

$$\partial_x \sigma_{-1} + \left[\sigma_{-2}, E^{(1)}\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left[\sigma_{-1}, \left[\sigma_{-1}, E^{(1)}\right]\right] = 0, \tag{3.20}$$

Denote (consistently with (3.17))

$$\sigma_{-1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \Psi_i^{+} E_{\beta_i}^{(-1)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Psi_i^{-} E_{-\beta_i}^{(-1)} + \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sigma_{-1}^{a} \mathbf{H}_a^{(-1)}, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\sigma_{-2} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{-2}^{+i} E_{\beta_i}^{(-2)} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_{-2}^{-i} E_{-\beta_i}^{(-2)} + \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sigma_{-2}^{a} \mathbf{H}_a^{(-2)},$$

and therefore from (3.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x \sigma_{-1}^{a} &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \Psi_i^{+} \Psi_i^{-}, \quad a, i = 1, ...r. \\ \sigma_{-2}^{+i} &= -\partial_x \Psi_i^{+} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sigma_{-1}^{a} \Psi_i^{+} \left(\sum_{b=i}^{r} K_{ba}\right), \\ \sigma_{-2}^{-i} &= -\partial_x \Psi_i^{+} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{r} \sigma_{-1}^{a} \Psi_i^{-} \left(\sum_{b=i}^{r} K_{ba}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Substitution of σ_{-1}, σ_{-2} in (3.17) and (3.19) we obtain

$$\nu_1 = -\frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{a,b=1}^{r} a.\eta_{ab} \sigma_{-1}^{b},$$

$$\nu_2 = -\frac{2}{r+1}\sum_{a,b=1}^{r} a.\eta_{ab}\sigma_{-2}^{b}. \tag{3.23}$$

The σ_{-n} 's with the higher gradations are used to cancel out the undesired componentes.

One of the tau-function vectors is given by

$$\tau_o\left(x,t\right) = \left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle \tag{3.24}$$

$$= \Theta_{-}^{-1} M^{-1}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{3.25}$$

where h is a particular constant element of $\widehat{sl}(r+1)$.

Then we have

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\sigma_{-n}\right)\exp\left(-\sigma_o\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle. \tag{3.26}$$

We want to express the fields $\Psi_i^{\pm}$ in terms of some tau functions, which are some matrix elements in a appropriate representation of $\widehat{sl}(r+1)$.

We can write down

$$\sigma_o = \sum_{a=1}^{r}\sigma_o^{+a}E_{\alpha_a}^{(0)} + \sum_{a=1}^{r}\sigma_o^{-a}E_{-\alpha_a}^{(0)} + \sum_{a=1}^{r}\sigma_o^{a}\mathbf{H}_a^{(0)} + \eta C \tag{3.27}$$

or

$$\sigma_o = \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sigma_o^{+i}.e_i + \sum_{i=1}^{r}\sigma_o^{-i}.f_i + \sum_{a=1}^{r}\sigma_o^{a}.h_a + \eta C, \tag{3.28}$$

where e_i and f_i $(i = 0...r)$ are the generators in the Chevalley basis and $\{\ h_i\ ,\ D\}$ generates the Cartan subalgebra.

We have (see Appendix A)

$$h_i\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0, \quad f_i\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0, \quad e_i\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \qquad i = 1,2,...r \tag{3.29}$$

Therefore the zero gradation of (3.26) is

$$\exp\left(-\sigma_o\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(o)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{3.30}$$

and the left hand side can be written as

$$\exp\left(-\sigma_o\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left|\lambda_o\right\rangle\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right) \tag{3.31}$$

with $\widehat{\tau}^{(0)}$ a real function given by the matrix element

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right) = \left\langle\lambda_o\right|\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(o)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle. \tag{3.32}$$

Then the term with grade (-1) in (3.26) is

$$-\sigma_{-1}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \frac{\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(-1)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right)} \tag{3.33}$$

or

$$\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^{+}E_{\beta_i}^{(-1)}+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\Psi_i^{-}E_{-\beta_i}^{(-1)}+\sum_{a=1}^{r}\sigma_{-1}^{a}\mathbf{H}_a^{(-1)}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle = -\frac{\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(-1)}|\lambda_o\rangle}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}(x,t)}, \tag{3.34}$$

Using the commutation rules for the relevant Kac-Moody algebra elements we have

$$\Psi_i^{+}=\frac{\tau_i^{+}}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_i^{-}=-\frac{\tau_i^{-}}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}} \quad , \tag{3.35}$$

where the *tau* functions are defined by

$$\tau_i^{+}\equiv\langle\lambda_o|\,E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)}\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(-1)}|\lambda_o\rangle, \tag{3.36}$$

$$\tau_i^{-}\equiv\langle\lambda_o|\,E_{\beta_i}^{(1)}\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(-1)}|\lambda_o\rangle, \tag{3.37}$$

and

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\equiv\langle\lambda_o|\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]_{(o)}|\lambda_o\rangle. \tag{3.38}$$

In order to obtain the first non trivial solutions of (3.9) let us consider

$$h=e^{aF_j},\quad F_j=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\nu_j^{n}E_{-\beta_j}^{(-n)},\quad \text{where } \nu_j \text{ and } a \text{ are real parameters.} \tag{3.39}$$

with F_j being an eigenvector under the adjoint action of the generator $E^{(n)}$, that is

$$\left[xE^{(1)}+tE^{(2)}+X,F_j\right]=-\left[\nu_j\left(x+\nu_j t\right)+\overline{\nu}_j\right]F_j, \tag{3.40}$$

where

$$\overline{\nu}_j=\sum_{n=3}^{+\infty}z_n\nu_j^{n};$$

denoting $\varphi_j=\nu_j\left(x+\nu_j t\right)+\overline{\nu}_j$ one can write

$$\begin{aligned}\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right]&=\exp\left(e^{-\varphi_j}aF_j\right)\\&=1+e^{-\varphi_j}aF_j,\end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

where we have used the fact that $F_j^n=0$, for $n\geq 2$, that is, F_j are nilpotent (see Appendix B for more details)

The tau function $\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}$ becomes

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}=\langle\lambda_o|\left(1+e^{-\varphi_j}aF_j\right)|\lambda_o\rangle=1,$$

since

$$\langle\lambda_o|\,E_{-\beta_i}^{(o)}\,|\lambda_o\rangle=0.$$

For the tau function τ_i^+ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_i^+ &= \langle\lambda_o| \left[E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)} \exp\left(e^{-\varphi_j}.a \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_j^n E_{-\beta_i}^{(-n)}\right)\right]_{(o)} |\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \langle\lambda_o| \left[E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)} e^{-\varphi_j}.a \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_j^n E_{-\beta_i}^{(-n)}\right]_{(o)} |\lambda_o\rangle ,\end{aligned}$$

as $\left[E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)}, E_{-\beta_j}^{(-n)}\right] = 0$ and $E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)} |\lambda_o\rangle = 0$, it follows

$$\tau_i^+ = 0.$$

Now

$$\tau_i^- = \langle\lambda_o| \left[E_{\beta_i}^{(1)} e^{-\varphi_j}.a \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_j^n E_{-\beta_i}^{(-n)}\right]_{(o)} |\lambda_o\rangle ,$$

if $i \neq j$ the last expression becomes

$$\tau_i^- = \langle\lambda_o| E_{\beta_i-\beta_j}^{(0)} e^{-\varphi_j}.a\nu_j |\lambda_o\rangle = 0,$$

then

$$\tau_i^- = a\delta_{ij} e^{-\varphi_j}.\nu_j \langle\lambda_o| E_{\beta_i}^{(1)} E_{-\beta_i}^{(-1)} |\lambda_o\rangle ;$$

the matrix element can be written as

$$\langle\lambda_o| \left\{\sum_{a=1}^{r} \mathbf{H}_a^{(0)} + C + E_{-\beta_i}^{(-1)} E_{\beta_i}^{(1)}\right\} |\lambda_o\rangle .$$

Then it follows

$$\tau_i^- = \delta_{ij}.a.\nu_{j.}e^{-\varphi_j},$$

and therefore we obtain the solution

$$\Psi_i^+ = 0, \qquad \Psi_i^- = -\delta_{ij}.a.\nu_{j.}e^{-\varphi_j}. \tag{3.42}$$

We can also make the choice

$$h = e^{b.G_j}, \text{ with } G_j = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_j^n E_{\beta_i}^{(-n)}, \quad \rho_j \text{ being a real parameter.}$$

with G_j a new eigenvector of the adjoint action of $E^{(l)}$.

$$\left[xE^{(1)} + tE^{(2)} + X \, , \, G_j\right] = \left(\rho_j \left(x + \rho_j t\right) + \overline{\rho}_j\right) G_j,$$

with

$$\overline{\rho}_j = \sum_{n=3}^{+\infty} z_n \rho_j^n.$$

As in the previous case

$$\left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right] = \exp\left(e^{\eta_j} b G_j\right)$$

$$= 1 + e^{\eta_j} b G_j, \tag{3.43}$$

where $G_j^n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$. Denoting $\eta_j = \rho_j (x + \rho_j t) + \overline{\rho}_j$, and performing a similar calculations as in the previous case, one gets

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = 1, \qquad \tau_i^- = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_i^+ = \delta_{ij}.b.\rho_{j.}e^{\eta_j},$$

and therefore we have a new solution of the form

$$\Psi_i^- = 0, \qquad \Psi_i^+ = \delta_{ij}.b.\rho_{j.}e^{\eta_j}. \tag{3.44}$$

Consider now the product

$$h = e^{a_{j_1} F_{j_1}} e^{b_{j_2} G_{j_2}}, \quad j_1, j_2 = 1, 2, ...r, \tag{3.45}$$

where

$$F_{j_1} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_{j_1}^n E_{-\beta_{j_1}}^{(-n)}, \quad G_{j_2} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_{j_2}^n E_{\beta_{j_2}}^{(-n)}; \quad a_{j_1}, b_{j_2} \text{ real parameters.}$$

Let us note that F_{j_1} and G_{j_2} are associated to positive and negative roots respectively. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right] &= \left(1 + e^{-\varphi_{j_1}} a_{j_1} F_{j_1}\right)\left(1 + e^{\eta_{j_2}} b_{j_2} G_{j_2}\right) \\ &= 1 + e^{-\varphi_{j_1}} a_{j_1} F_{j_1} + e^{\eta_{j_2}} b_{j_2} G_{j_2} + a_{j_1} b_{j_2} e^{-\varphi_{j_1}} e^{\eta_{j_2}} F_{j_1} G_{j_2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

with $\varphi_{j_1} = \nu_{j_1}(x + \nu_{j_1} t) + \overline{\nu}_{j_1}$ and $\eta_{j_2} = \rho_{j_2}(x + \rho_{j_2} t) + \overline{\rho}_{j_2}$. The corresponding tau function are

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = 1 + \delta_{j_1, j_2} a_{j_1} b_{j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} e^{-\varphi_{j_1}} e^{\eta_{j_2}}, \qquad C_{j_1, j_2} = \frac{\nu_{j_1}.\rho_{j_2}}{\left(\nu_{j_1} - \rho_{j_2}\right)^2} \quad , \tag{3.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i^+ &= \langle \lambda_o | \, E_{-\beta_i}^{(1)} b_{j_2} e^{\eta_{j_2}} G_{j_2} \, | \lambda_o \rangle \\ &= \delta_{i, j_2} b_{j_2} \rho_{j_2} e^{\eta_{j_2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

and

$$\tau_i^- = \delta_{i, j_2} a_{j_1} \nu_{j_1} e^{-\varphi_{j_1}}. \tag{3.49}$$

In Appendix D we outline the form of the corresponding matrix elements. We therefore obtain

$$\Psi_i^+ = \frac{\delta_{i, j_2} b_{j_2} \rho_{j_2} e^{\eta_{j_2}}}{1 + \delta_{j_1, j_2} a_{j_1} b_{j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} e^{-\varphi_{j_1}} e^{\eta_{j_2}}}, \quad \Psi_i^- = -\frac{\delta_{i, j_2} a_{j_1} \nu_{j_1} e^{-\varphi_{j_1}}}{1 + \delta_{j_1, j_2} a_{j_1} b_{j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} e^{-\varphi_{j_1}} e^{\eta_{j_2}}} \quad \cdot \tag{3.50}$$

If $j_{1 \neq} j_2$ in (3.47) we recover the solution (3.42) and (3.44). Therefore in order to have *one-soliton* solutions we must have $j_1 = j_2 = i$ and therefore a solution of the system of equations (3.9) is

$$\Psi_i^+ = \frac{b_i \rho_i e^{\eta_i}}{1 + a_i b_i C_{i,i} e^{-\varphi_i} e^{\eta_i}}, \quad \Psi_i^- = -\frac{a_i \nu_i e^{-\varphi_i}}{1 + a_i b_i C_{i,i} e^{-\varphi_i} e^{\eta_i}} \quad \cdot \tag{3.51}$$

In order to study the N-soliton solution consider the following group element

$$h = e^{a_1 F_{i_1}} ... e^{a_N F_{i_N}} e^{b_1 G_{j_1}} ... e^{b_N G_{j_N}}, \tag{3.52}$$

where

$$F_{j_l} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_l^n E_{-\beta_{j_l}}^{(-n)}, \quad G_{j_l} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_l^n E_{\beta_{j_l}}^{(-n)}, \quad l = 1, 2, ...N; \quad i_l, j_l = 1, 2, ...r;$$

$a_l, \quad b_l, \quad \nu_l$ and ρ_l are real parameters.

where

$$\varphi_l = \nu_l \left(x + \nu_l t\right) + \overline{\nu}_l \quad , \quad \eta_l = \rho_l \left(x + \rho_l t\right) + \overline{\rho}_l \quad , l = 1, 2, ..., N.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right] &= \left(1 + e^{-\varphi_1} a_1 F_{i_1}\right) ... \left(1 + e^{-\varphi_N} a_N F_{i_N}\right) \left(1 + e^{\eta_1} b_1 G_{j_1}\right) ... \\ & \left(1 + e^{\eta_N} b_N G_{j_N}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

Using (3.36), (3.37) and (3.38) we calculate the corresponding tau functions. Denoting

$$A_{i_l} \equiv a_l \nu_l . e^{-\varphi_l}, \quad B_{j_l} \equiv b_{l_l} \rho_l e^{\eta_l}, \tag{3.54}$$

we have

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = \langle \lambda_o | \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1}\right) | \lambda_o \rangle$$

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = 1 +$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \lambda_o | \{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{1 \le l_1 < l_2 < ... < l_N \le N} \left(A_{i_{l_1}} ... A_{i_{l_n}}\right) \left(F_{i_{l_1}} ... F_{i_{l_n}}\right) \sum_{1 \le k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n \le N} \left(B_{j_{k_1}} ... B_{j_{k_n}}\right) \\ &\left(G_{j_{k_1}} ... G_{j_{k_n}}\right) \} | \lambda_o \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{1 \le l_1 < l_2 < ... < l_n \le N, \, 1 \le k_1 < k_2 < ... < k_n \le N} C_{i_{l_1} ... i_{l_n}, j_{k_1} ... j_{k_n}} A_{i_{l_1}} ... A_{i_{l_n}} B_{j_{k_1}} ... B_{j_{k_n}}, \tag{3.56}$$

where the coefficients are given by the matrix elements

$$C_{i_{l_1} ... i_{l_n}, j_{k_1} ... j_{k_n}} = \langle \lambda_o | F_{i_{l_1}} ... F_{i_{l_n}} G_{j_{k_1}} ... G_{j_{k_n}} | \lambda_o \rangle, \tag{3.57}$$

Similarly

$$\tau_i^{\pm} = \langle \lambda_o | E_{\mp \beta_i}^{(1)} \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(0)-1} | \lambda_o \rangle$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \langle\lambda_o| E^{(1)}_{\mp\beta_i}\left(1+e^{-\varphi_1}a_1F_{i_1}\right)...\left(1+e^{-\varphi_N}a_NF_{i_N}\right)\left(1+e^{\eta_1}b_1G_{j_1}\right)... \\
&\quad \left(1+e^{\eta_N}b_NG_{j_N}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.58}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\tau_i^+ = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\ \sum_{1\le l_1<l_2<...<l_n\le N,\ 1\le k_1<k_2<...<k_{n+1}\le N} C^+_{ii_{l_1}...i_{l_n},j_{k_1}...j_{k_{n+1}}} A_{i_{l_1}}...A_{i_{l_n}} B_{j_{k_1}}...B_{j_{k_{n+1}}}, \tag{3.59}$$

$$\tau_i^- = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\ \sum_{1\le l_1<l_2<...<l_{n+1}\le N,\ 1\le k_1<k_2<...<k_n\le N} C^-_{i_{l_1}...i_{l_{n+1}},ij_{k_1}...j_{k_n}} A_{i_{l_1}}...A_{i_{l_n}} B_{j_{k_1}}...B_{j_{k_{n+1}}}, \tag{3.60}$$

with the matrix elements given by

$$C^+_{ii_{l_1}...i_{l_n},j_{k_1}...j_{k_{n+1}}} = \langle\lambda_o| E^{(1)}_{-\beta_i}F_{i_{l_1}}...F_{i_{l_n}}G_{j_{k_1}}...G_{j_{k_{n+1}}}|\lambda_o\rangle\,, \tag{3.61}$$

$$C^-_{i_{l_1}...i_{l_{n+1}},ij_{k_1}...j_{k_n}} = \langle\lambda_o| E^{(1)}_{\beta_i}F_{i_{l_1}}...F_{i_{l_n}}G_{j_{k_1}}...G_{j_{k_n}}|\lambda_o\rangle\,. \tag{3.62}$$

The calculation of the corresponding matrix elements is outlined in Appendix D.

4 The example of GNLS$_1$

The hierarchy GNLS$_1$ has a Lax operator

$$L = \partial_x - E^{(1)} - \Psi^+E_+^{(0)} - \Psi^-E_-^{(0)} - \nu_1 C, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Psi^{\pm}$ and ν_1 are the fields of the model.

The corresponding $\widehat{sl}(2)$ algebra in the Weyl Cartan basis is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[H^{(m)},H^{(n)}\right] &= \frac{n}{2}C\delta_{m+n,0}, \\
\left[H^{(n)},E_\pm^{(m)}\right] &= \pm E_\pm^{(m+n)}, \\
\left[E_+^{(m)},E_-^{(n)}\right] &= 2H^{(m+n)} + nC\delta_{m+n,0}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}
$$

The equations of the hierarchy are obtained as follows

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_N} = \left[B_N, L\right], \qquad N>0$$

where

$$B_N = \left(UH^{(N)}U^{-1}\right)_{\ge 0} \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{R},\ \widehat{g}_{\ge o}\left(s\right)\right),$$

$$B_N \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{N}\widehat{g}_i,$$

with U being a group element obtained by exponentiating the negative degree elements

$$U = \exp\left(\sum_{n>1} T^{(-n)}\right), \quad \left[D, T^{(n)}\right] = nT^{(n)}.$$

The first two B_N are

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= H^{(1)} + \Psi^+ E_+^{(0)} + \Psi^- E_-^{(0)} + \nu_1 C, && (4.3) \\ B_2 &= H^{(2)} + \Psi^+ E_+^{(1)} + \Psi^- E_-^{(1)} - 2\Psi^+\Psi^- H^{(0)} + \partial_x \Psi^+ E_+^{(0)} - \\ & \partial_x \Psi^- E_-^{(0)} + \nu_2 C, && (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

and the first equations of the hierarchy are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_1} L &= [B_1, L] : \\ \partial_{t_1} \Psi^{\pm} &= \partial_x \Psi^{\pm}, \\ \partial_{t_1} \nu_1 &= \partial_x \nu_1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t_2} L &= [B_2, L] : \\ \partial_{t_2} \Psi^{\pm} &= \pm\partial_x^2 \Psi^{\pm} \mp 2.\left(\Psi^+\Psi^-\right)\Psi^{\pm}, \\ \partial_{t_2} \nu_1 &= \partial_x \nu_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

The system of equations for the $\Psi^{\pm}$ fields in (4.6), supplied with a convenient complexification of the time variable and the fields, are related to the well known non-linear Schrödinger equation (**NLS**) [13, 14]. The zero curvature condition for B_1 and B_N can be written as

$$\left[\partial_{t_N} - B_N, \partial_x - B_1\right] = 0, \quad N = 1, 2, ... \tag{4.7}$$

where B_N has the general form

$$B_N = H^{(N)} + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} B_N^{(n)}, \quad \text{with } B_N^{(n)} \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}, \, \widehat{g}_n\left(s_{\text{hom}}\right)\right). \tag{4.8}$$

As $\Psi^{\pm} = \nu_N = 0$ is a solution of each system of equations of the hierarchy, we have

$$B_1^{(vac)} = H^{(1)}, \qquad B_N^{(vac)} = H^{(N)}, \tag{4.9}$$

such connections can be obtained with the help of $B_N = \partial_{t_N} \mathbf{\Psi} \mathbf{\Psi}^{-1}$ from the group element

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} = \exp\left(xH^{(1)} + t_N H^{(N)} + \sum_{n=2,3,\ldots n\neq N} t_n H^{(n)}\right) \equiv \exp\left(\sum_{n=1,2,\ldots} t_n H^{(n)}\right). \tag{4.10}$$

Observe that according to (4.5) we have identified $t_1 = x$.

The connections in the vacuum orbit are given by

$$B_1 = \Theta H^{(1)} \Theta^{-1} + \partial_x \Theta \Theta^{-1}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$= M^{-1}\left(NH^{(1)}N^{-1} - \partial_x M M^{-1} + \partial_x N N^{-1}\right) M,$$

$$B_N = \Theta H^{(N)}\Theta^{-1} + \partial_{t_N}\Theta\Theta^{-1}, \tag{4.12}$$
$$= M^{-1}\left(NH^{(N)}N^{-1} - \partial_{t_N} M M^{-1} + \partial_{t_N} N N^{-1}\right) M.$$

Denote

$$\Theta = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\sigma_{-n}\right), \quad M = \exp\left(\sigma_o\right), \quad N = \exp\left(\sum_{n>0}\sigma_n\right), \tag{4.13}$$
$$[D, \sigma_n] = n\sigma_n,$$

Therefore we can relate $\Psi^{\pm}$ to some σ_n. For instance for $N = 2$ and denoting $t_2 = t$, we have

$$B_1 = H^{(1)} + [\sigma_{-1}, H^{(1)}] + \text{ terms of negative grade}, \tag{4.14}$$
$$= M^{-1}\left(H^{(1)} - \partial_x M M^{-1} + \partial_x \sigma_1\right) M \ + \text{ terms of grade } > 1,$$

$$B_2 = H^{(2)} + [\sigma_{-1}, H^{(2)}] + [\sigma_{-2}, H^{(2)}] + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_{-1}, [\sigma_{-1}, H^{(2)}]] +$$
$$+ \text{ terms of negative grade}. \tag{4.15}$$
$$= M^{-1}\left(H^{(2)} - \partial_t M M^{-1} + \partial_t \sigma_1 + \partial_t \sigma_2 + [\sigma_1, \partial_t \sigma_1]\right) M + \text{ terms of grade} > 2.$$

Let us observe that the next term (with degree -1) in (4.14) vanishes, and therefore we have

$$\partial_x \sigma_{-1} + [\sigma_{-2}, H^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_{-1}, [\sigma_{-1}, H^{(1)}]] = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Denoting

$$\sigma_{-1} = -\Psi^+ E_+^{(-1)} + \Psi^- E_-^{(-1)} + \sigma_{-1}^o H^{(-1)} \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$\sigma_{-2} = -\sigma_{-2}^+ E_+^{(-2)} + \sigma_{-2}^- E_-^{(-2)} + \sigma_{-2}^o H^{(-2)}, \tag{4.18}$$

from (4.16) we obtain

$$\partial_x \sigma_{-1}^o \;=\; 2.\Psi^+\Psi^- \tag{4.19}$$
$$\sigma_{-2}^+ \;=\; -\partial_x \Psi^+ + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{-1}^o \Psi^+ \tag{4.20}$$
$$\sigma_{-2}^- \;=\; -\partial_x \Psi^- + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{-1}^o \Psi^-. \tag{4.21}$$

Substituting these expressions for σ_{-1} and σ_{-2} in (4.14) and (4.15) we obtain (4.7) with

$$\nu_1 = -\frac{\sigma_{-1}^o}{2}, \quad \nu_1 = -\sigma_{-2}^o. \tag{4.22}$$

The σ_{-n} 's with higher grades are to cancel the undesired components. The term of gradation -2 in (4.14) satisfies [1]

$$\partial_x \sigma_{-2} + [\sigma_{-3}, H^{(1)}] + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_{-2}, [\sigma_{-1}, H^{(1)}]] + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_{-1}, [\sigma_{-2}, H^{(1)}]] = 0, \tag{4.23}$$

where

$$\sigma_{-3} = \sigma^{+}_{-3} E^{(-3)}_{+} + \sigma^{-}_{-3} E^{(-3)}_{-} + \sigma^{o}_{-3} H^{(-3)}.$$

From (4.23) we obtain

$$\partial_x \sigma^{o}_{-2} = \Psi^{-}\partial_x \Psi^{+} - \Psi^{+}\partial_x \Psi^{-}. \tag{4.24}$$

Equating to zero the terms of degree (-1) and (-2) in (4.15) we can obtain

$$\partial_t \sigma^{o}_{-1} = 2\left(\Psi^{-}\partial_x \Psi^{+} - \Psi^{+}\partial_x \Psi^{-}\right), \tag{4.25}$$

and

$$\partial_t \sigma^{o}_{-2} = \frac{2}{3}\Psi^{+}\Psi^{-}\left(\sigma^{o}_{-1}\right)^2 - 2\partial_x \Psi^{+}\partial_x \Psi^{-} - \frac{2}{3}\left(\Psi^{+}\Psi^{-}\right)^2 + \Psi^{-}\partial_x \Psi^{+} - \Psi^{+}\partial_x \Psi^{-}. \tag{4.26}$$

From (4.19) and (4.25) we obtain

$$\partial_t \left(\Psi^{+}\Psi^{-}\right) = \partial_x F[\Psi^{+}, \Psi^{-}], \tag{4.27}$$

where F is a functional of the fields and $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the first Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx.\Psi^{+}\Psi^{-}.$$

In the same way (4.24) and (4.26) gives

$$\partial_t \left(\Psi^{-}\partial_x \Psi^{+} - \Psi^{+}\partial_x \Psi^{-}\right) = \partial_x G[\Psi^{+}, \Psi^{-}], \tag{4.28}$$

where G is a functional of the fields and $\mathcal{H}_2$ is the second Hamiltonian of GNLS$_1$ system given by

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx.\left(\Psi^{-}\partial_x \Psi^{+} - \Psi^{+}\partial_x \Psi^{-}\right). \tag{4.29}$$

In this way one can construct the remaining Hamiltonians of higher order corresponding to every σ^{0}_{n} $(n < -2)$.

Let us define the tau-function vector

$$\tau\left(x, t_2, t_3, ...\right) = \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1} \left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{4.30}$$

$$= \Theta^{-1} M^{-1} \left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{4.31}$$

where h is a particular element of the group $\widehat{sl}(2)$ which generates a dressing transformation.

---

[1] we use $\partial e^{\sigma} e^{-\sigma} = \partial\sigma + \frac{1}{2!}\left[\sigma, \partial\sigma\right] + \frac{1}{3!}\left[\sigma, \left[\sigma, \partial\sigma\right]\right] + \cdots$

Therefore we have

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{n>0}\sigma_{-n}\right)\exp\left(-\sigma_o\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{4.32}$$

and then one can write

$$\sigma_o = \sigma_o^o H + \sigma_o^+ E_+^{(o)} + \sigma_o^- E_-^{(o)} + \eta C, \tag{4.33}$$

or

$$\sigma_o = \sigma_o^o h_1 + \sigma_o^+ e_1 + \sigma_o^- f_1 + \eta C,$$

where we have used $h_1\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0, \quad f_1\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left|\lambda_o\right\rangle$. In this way the zero gradation of expression (4.32) is

$$\exp\left(-\sigma_o\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_{(o)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{4.34}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\exp\left(-\sigma_o\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \left|\lambda_o\right\rangle \widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right)$$

where $\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right)$ is a function of x and the times t_n given by the following matrix element

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right) = \left\langle\lambda_o\right|\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_{(o)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle. \tag{4.35}$$

The term with degree (-1) in (4.32) becomes

$$\left(-\sigma_{-1}\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = \frac{\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_{(-1)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right)},$$

or

$$\left(-\Psi^+E_+^{(-1)} + \Psi^-E_-^{(-1)} + \sigma_{-1}^oH^{(-1)}\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = -\frac{\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_{(-1)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}\left(x,t\right)}, \tag{4.36}$$

As $H^{(0)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = h_1\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0, \quad E_\pm^{(1)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle = 0$ we can write

$$\Psi^+ = \frac{\tau^+}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi^- = -\frac{\tau^-}{\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}}, \tag{4.37}$$

where

$$\tau^+ \equiv \left\langle\lambda_o\right| E_-^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_{(-1)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle, \tag{4.38}$$

$$\tau^- \equiv \left\langle\lambda_o\right| E_+^{(1)}\left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right)_{(-1)}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle. \tag{4.39}$$

The relations (4.35), (4.38) and (4.39) define the tau-functions of the GNLS$_1$ system of equations (4.6).

In order to obtain the first non trivial solution we choose

$$h = e^F, \qquad \text{with} \qquad F = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\nu_1^n E_-^{(-n)}. \tag{4.40}$$

Since

$$\left[\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n H^{(n)}, F\right] = -\left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n \nu_1^n\right) F, \qquad \text{with } \nu_1 \text{ a real parameter,} \tag{4.41}$$

we may obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right) &= \exp\left(e^{-\varphi_1} F\right) && (4.42)\\ &= 1 + e^{-\varphi_1} F, && (4.43)\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\varphi_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n \nu_1^n.$$

Where we have used the property $F^n = 0$, for $n \geq 2$. The tau functions become

$$\tau^{(o)} = \langle\lambda_o|\left(1 + e^{-\varphi_1} E_-^{(o)}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle = 1,$$

$$\tau^+ = \langle\lambda_o| E_-^{(1)} e^{-\varphi_1} \nu_1 E_-^{(-1)} |\lambda_o\rangle = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^- &= \langle\lambda_o| E_+^{(1)} e^{-\varphi_1} \nu_1 E_-^{(-1)} |\lambda_o\rangle && (4.44)\\ &= \nu_1 e^{-\varphi_1} \langle\lambda_o|\left(2H^{(0)} + C\right)|\lambda_o\rangle && (4.45)\\ &= \nu_1 e^{-\varphi_1}. && (4.46)\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.37) we obtain the following solution of the Eqs. (4.6)

$$\Psi^+ = 0 \qquad \text{e} \qquad \Psi^- = -\nu_1 e^{-\varphi_1}. \tag{4.47}$$

Now let us choose

$$h = e^G, \qquad G = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_1^n E_+^{(-n)}, \ \rho_1 \text{ is a real parameter.} \tag{4.48}$$

Since

$$[\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n H^{(n)}, G] = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n \rho_1^n\right) G,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}\right) &= \exp\left(e^{\eta_1} G\right) && (4.49)\\ &= 1 + e^{\eta_1} G, \qquad \text{with} \qquad \eta_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n \rho_1^n, && (4.50)\end{aligned}$$

where we used $G^n = 0$, $n \geq 2$. Therefore the tau functions become

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = \langle\lambda_o|\left(1 + e^{\eta_1} E_+^{(o)}\right)_{(o)}|\lambda_o\rangle = 1,$$

$$\tau^{-} = \langle\lambda_o|\left(E_{+}^{(1)}e^{\eta_1}\rho_1 E_{+}^{(-1)}\right)_{(o)}|\lambda_o\rangle = 0,$$

$$\tau^{+} = \langle\lambda_o|\, E_{-}^{(1)}e^{\eta_1}\rho_1 E_{+}^{(-1)}\,|\lambda_o\rangle = \rho_1 e^{\eta_1},$$

and the corresponding solutions

$$\Psi^{-} = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi^{+} = \rho_1 e^{\eta_1}. \tag{4.51}$$

In order to obtain *one-soliton* solutions, let us choose

$$h \;=\; e^{aF}e^{bG}, \qquad \text{where } F \text{ and } G \text{ are given in (4.40) and (4.48) with } a \text{ and } b \text{ real parameters.} \tag{4.52}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1} &= \exp\left(e^{-\varphi}aF\right)\exp\left(e^{\eta}bG\right) &(4.53)\\ &= 1 + e^{-\varphi}aF + e^{\eta}bG + e^{-\varphi}e^{\eta}aFbG, &(4.54)\end{aligned}$$

with $\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n\nu^n$ and $\eta = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} t_n\rho^n$. $\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}$. Let us compute the relevant tau functions. The expression for $\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}$ becomes

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = 1 + a\,b\,c\,e^{-\varphi}e^{\eta}, \tag{4.55}$$

where c is an matrix element of the following form

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \langle\lambda_o|\,(FG)_{(o)}\,|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \langle\lambda_o|\left(\sum_{n,m>0}^{+\infty}\nu_1^{-n}\rho_1^{m}E_{-}^{(n)}E_{+}^{(-m)}\right)_{(o)}|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \langle\lambda_o|\sum_{n,m>0}^{+\infty}\nu_1^{-n}\rho_1^{m}\left(-2H^{(n-m)} + m\delta_{n-m,o}C\right)_{(o)}|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} n\left(\frac{\rho_1}{\nu_1}\right)^{n}, \\ &= \frac{\nu_1\rho_1}{(\rho_1-\nu_1)^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

where, for pedagogical reasons, we have used step by step, the properties of the integrable highest weight representation of the algebra $\hat{sl}(2)$, see Appendix A (Eqs. (A.31)-(A.37)). The computation of higher order matrix elements is performed very quickly using the vertex operator formalism, see Appendix E.

The remaining tau functions are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{+} &= \langle\lambda_o|\,E_{-}^{(1)}\left(be^{\eta}\rho_1E_{+}^{(-1)}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= be^{\eta}\rho_1\,\langle\lambda_o|\,E_{-}^{(1)}\left(-2H^{(0)} + C\right)|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= b\rho_1e^{\eta}\end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^- &= \langle\lambda_o| \, E_+^{(1)} \left(a.e^{-\varphi}\nu_1 E_-^{(-1)}\right) |\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= a.\nu_1 e^{-\varphi}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

Thus, a *one-soliton* solution is

$$\Psi^+ = \frac{b\rho_1 e^{\eta}}{1 + a\, b\, c\, e^{-\varphi} e^{\eta}}, \tag{4.59}$$

and

$$\Psi^- = -\frac{a\,\nu_1 e^{-\varphi}}{1 + a\, b\, c\, e^{-\varphi} e^{\eta}}. \tag{4.60}$$

Let us write down the explicit form of this *one-soliton* solution. As a particular case we set the following relations

$$\rho_1 = -\nu_1, \quad b = -a = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{2n+1} = 0 \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{4.61}$$

then the relations (4.59) and (4.60) become

$$\Psi^+ = \nu_1 \exp\left(\nu_1^2 t + \overline{\nu}_1\right) \operatorname{sech}\left(\nu_1 x\right) \tag{4.62}$$

and

$$\Psi^- = -\nu_1 \exp\left(-\nu_1^2 t - \overline{\nu}_1\right) \operatorname{sech}\left(\nu_1 x\right), \tag{4.63}$$

where $\overline{\nu}_1 = \sum_{n=2}^{+\infty} \nu_1^{2n} t_{2n}$ is a phase parameter as far as only the equation (4.6) of the whole hierarchy is considered. The solutions of types (4.62) and (4.63), are known as an 'envelope soliton' or 'bright soliton' solutions in the context of the non-linear Schrödinger equation [15].

Next let us choose

$$\begin{aligned} h &= e^{a_1 F_1}\; e^{a_2 F_2}\; e^{b_1 G_1}\; e^{b_2 G_2}, \quad a_i, b_i, \nu_i, \rho_i \text{ real parameters;} \\ \text{with } F_i &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_i^n E_-^{(-n)} \quad \text{and } G_i = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho_i^n E_+^{(-n)}, \; i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.64}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1} = 1 + e^{-\varphi_1} a_1 F_1 + e^{-\varphi_2} a_2 F_2 + e^{\eta_1} b_1 G_1 + e^{\eta_2} b_2 G_2 + \\ &e^{\eta_1} e^{\eta_2} b_1 G_1 b_2 G_2 + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{-\varphi_2} a_1 F_1 a_2 F_2 + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} a_1 F_1 b_1 G_1 + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_2} a_1 F_1 b_2 G_2 + \\ &e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} a_2 F_2 b_2 G_2 + e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} b_1 G_1 a_2 F_2 + e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} b_1 G_1 a_2 F_2 b_2 G_2 + \\ &e^{-\varphi_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} a_1 F_1 a_2 F_2 b_2 G_2 + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} a_1 F_1 b_1 G_1 a_2 F_2 + \\ &e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} e^{\eta_2} a_1 F_1 b_1 G_1 b_2 G_2 + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} a_1 F_1 b_1 G_1 a_2 F_2 b_2 G_2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.65}$$

with

$$\varphi_i = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \nu_i^n t_n, \quad \eta_i = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \rho_i^n t_n, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The corresponding tau functions are

$$\begin{aligned}&\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = 1 + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} a_1 b_1 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| F_1 G_1 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_2} a_1 b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| F_1 G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + \\ &e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} a_2 b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| F_2 G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} b_1 a_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| G_1 F_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + \\ &e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| F_1 G_1 F_2 G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{+} \;=\;& e^{\eta_1} b_1 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{-}^{(1)} G_1 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + e^{\eta_2} b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{-}^{(1)} G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + \\ & e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} e^{\eta_2} a_1 b_1 b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{-}^{(1)} F_1 G_1 G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + \\ & e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} b_1 a_2 b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{-}^{(1)} G_1 F_2 G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle\end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{-} \;=\;& e^{-\varphi_1} a_1 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{+}^{(1)} F_1 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + e^{-\varphi_2} a_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{+}^{(1)} F_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + \\ & e^{-\varphi_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\eta_2} a_1 a_2 b_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{+}^{(1)} F_1 F_2 G_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle + \\ & e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\eta_1} e^{-\varphi_2} a_1 b_1 a_2 \left\langle \lambda_o \right| E_{+}^{(1)} F_1 G_1 F_2 \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle .\end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

Notice that only some terms of the expansion $\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)} h \mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1}$ contribute to the tau functions. For example the terms $\left\langle \lambda_o \right| F_i \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \lambda_o \right| G_i \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \lambda_o \right| F_i G_j F_k \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle$ and $\left\langle \lambda_o \right| G_i F_j G_k \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle$ do not contribute to the computation of $\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}$, since these matrix elements vanish.

In particular let us consider $\rho_i = -\nu_i$, $b_i = -a_i = -2$ and $t_{2n+1} = 0$, then

$$\tau^{+} = a_1 \nu_1 e^{\widehat{\varphi}_1} + a_2 \nu_2 e^{\widehat{\varphi}_2} + a_1 a_2^2 \Delta_1 e^{\widehat{\varphi}_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\widehat{\varphi}_2} + a_1^2 a_2 \Delta_2 e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\widehat{\varphi}_1} e^{\widehat{\varphi}_2}, \tag{4.69}$$

$$\tau^{-} = a_1 \nu_1 e^{-\varphi_1} + a_2 \nu_2 e^{-\varphi_2} + a_1 a_2^2 \Delta_1 e^{-\varphi_1} e^{-\varphi_2} e^{\widehat{\varphi}_2} + a_1^2 a_2 \Delta_2 e^{-\varphi_1} e^{\widehat{\varphi}_1} e^{-\varphi_2}, \tag{4.70}$$

where

$$\widehat{\varphi}_i \;=\; -\nu_i \left(x - \nu_i t \right) + \overline{\nu}_i, \tag{4.71}$$

$$\varphi_i \;=\; \nu_i \left(x + \nu_i t \right) + \overline{\nu}_i, \tag{4.72}$$

$$\Delta_i = \frac{\nu_i}{4} \left(\frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \right)^2, \quad i = 1, 2;$$

now let us choose a_1 and a_2 such that

$$\nu_i = a_j^2 \Delta_i, \qquad (i \neq j) ,$$

then

$$a_1 = a_2 \equiv a = 2 \left(\frac{\nu_1 - \nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \right).$$

Let us remark that the parameters $\overline{\nu}_i$ are some phase parameters if only the equations (4.6) of the hierarchy are to be considered. With this choice of parameters the $\widehat{\tau}^{(o)}$ function turns out to be

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} \;=\;& e^{-(\nu_1+\nu_2)x} \{ \frac{4}{a^2} (e^{(\nu_1-\nu_2)x} + e^{-(\nu_1-\nu_2)x}) + e^{(\nu_1+\nu_2)x} + e^{-(\nu_1+\nu_2)x} + \\ & 4 \frac{\nu_1 \nu_2}{\left(\nu_1 - \nu_2 \right)^2} (e^{\left(\nu_1^2 - \nu_2^2 \right) t + (\overline{\nu}_1 - \overline{\nu}_2)} + e^{-\left(\nu_1^2 - \nu_2^2 \right) t - (\overline{\nu}_1 - \overline{\nu}_2)} \}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.73}$$

Therefore the fields $\Psi^{+}$and Ψ^{-} become

$$\Psi^{\pm} = \pm a e^{\pm\left[\left(\nu_1^2+\nu_2^2\right)t+\left(\overline{\nu}_1+\overline{\nu}_2\right)\right]}.$$

$$\frac{e^{\mp\left(\nu_1^2 t+\overline{\nu}_1\right)}\nu_2\cosh\left(\nu_1 x\right)+e^{\mp\left(\nu_2^2 t+\overline{\nu}_2\right)}\nu_1\cosh\left(\nu_2 x\right)}{\frac{4}{a^2}\cosh\left[\left(\nu_1-\nu_2\right)x\right]+\cosh\left[\left(\nu_1+\nu_2\right)x\right]+4\frac{\nu_1\nu_2}{\left(\nu_1-\nu_2\right)^2}\cosh\left[\left(\nu_1^2-\nu_2^2\right)t+\left(\overline{\nu}_1-\overline{\nu}_2\right)\right]}, \tag{4.74}$$

which are the *two-soliton* solutions of (4.6) or the *two-soliton* solutions (after relevant complexification) of the corresponding non-linear Schrödinger equation [16].

Regarding the solutions of the equations of higher order of the hierarchy (4.7), we may argue that the same solutions, 1-soliton (4.59)-(4.60) and 2-soliton constructed with the tau functions (4.66), (4.67) and (4.68) satisfying (4.6) should satisfy the higher order equations of the hierarchy GNLS_1, each equation with its corresponding time scale t_n. This behaviour is also observed in the study of the KdV system using the perturvative reduction and multiple time scaling approach [17].

4.1 N-soliton solutions

The generalization for a N-soliton solution can be made choosing

$$h = e^{a_1 F_1}\ ...e^{a_N F_N}\ e^{b_1 G_1}\ ...\ e^{b_N G_N}, \tag{4.75}$$

where

$$F_i \ = \ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\nu_i^n E_{-}^{(-n)},\quad G_i = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}\rho_i^n E_{+}^{(-n)},\ i=1,2,...,N \tag{4.76}$$

$$\text{with } a_i, b_i, \nu_i \text{ and } \rho_i \text{ are real parameters .} \tag{4.77}$$

The following expression plays an important role in the construction af the tau functions

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1} = \left(1+a_1e^{-\varphi_1}F_1\right)\left(1+b_1e^{\eta_1}G_1\right)...\left(1+a_Ne^{-\varphi_N}F_N\right)\left(1+b_Ne^{\eta_N}G_N\right), \tag{4.78}$$

where

$$\varphi_i = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\nu_i^n t_n,\quad \eta_i = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\rho_i^n t_n,\quad i=1,2,...,N;$$

the parameters ν_i and ρ_i will characterize each soliton.

It will be convenient to write the various F_i and G_i in terms of the vertex operators (see Appendix C)

$$F_i \longrightarrow \nu_i\Gamma_{-}\left(\nu_i\right),\qquad G_i \longrightarrow \rho_i\Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_i\right), \tag{4.79}$$

then

$$\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)}h\mathbf{\Psi}^{(vac)-1} = \left(1+a_1\nu_1e^{-\varphi_1}\Gamma_{-}\left(\nu_1\right)\right)\left(1+b_1\rho_1e^{\eta_1}\Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_1\right)\right)...$$
$$\left(1+a_N\nu_Ne^{-\varphi_N}\Gamma_{-}\left(\nu_N\right)\right)\left(1+b_N\rho_Ne^{\eta_N}\Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_N\right)\right).$$

Denoting

$$A_n \equiv a_n\nu_ne^{-\varphi_n},\quad B_n \equiv b_n\rho_ne^{\eta_n},$$

we may compute the relevant tau functions

$$\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} = 1 + \langle\lambda_o| \sum_{n=1}^{N}$$

$$\sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<...<i_n\leq N} A_{i_1}...A_{i_n}\Gamma_-\left(\nu_{i_1}\right)...\Gamma_-\left(\nu_{i_n}\right) \sum_{1\leq j_1<j_2<...<j_n\leq N} B_{j_1}...B_{j_n}\Gamma_+\left(\rho_{j_1}\right)...\Gamma_-\left(\rho_{j_n}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle\,.$$

We are using some properties of the product of vertex operators acting on $|\lambda_o\rangle$ and its dual $\langle\lambda_o|$ (see Appendix B and C). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<...<i_n\leq N,\ 1\leq j_1<j_2<...<j_n\leq N} A_{i_1}...A_{i_n}B_{j_1}...B_{j_n}\,\cdot && (4.80)\\
&[\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n} \left(\nu_{i_l}-\nu_{i_m}\right)^2\left(\rho_{j_l}-\rho_{j_m}\right)^2\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{i_m}\right)\epsilon\left(\alpha_{j_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right)]\,\cdot && (4.81)\\
&[\prod_{1\leq l\leq m<n} \left(\nu_{i_l}-\rho_{j_m}\right)^2\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right)]^{-1}. && (4.82)
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting

$$\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{i_m}\right) = \epsilon\left(-\alpha,-\alpha\right) \equiv \epsilon\left(-,-\right),$$
$$\epsilon\left(\alpha_{j_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right) = \epsilon\left(\alpha,\alpha\right) \equiv \epsilon\left(+,+\right),$$
$$\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right) = \epsilon\left(-\alpha,\alpha\right) \equiv \epsilon\left(-,+\right),$$

and using the properties of the cocycles in the case of $\widehat{sl}(2)$ [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon\left(+,+\right) &= \epsilon\left(-,-\right) = -1, && (4.83)\\
\epsilon\left(+,-\right) &= \epsilon\left(-,+\right) = 1, && (4.84)
\end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\tau}^{(o)} &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<...<i_n\leq N,\ 1\leq j_1<j_2<...<j_n\leq N} A_{i_1}...A_{i_n}B_{j_1}...B_{j_n}\,\cdot && (4.85)\\
&[\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n} \left(\nu_{i_l}-\nu_{i_m}\right)^2\left(\rho_{j_l}-\rho_{j_m}\right)^2][\prod_{1\leq l\leq m\leq n} \left(\nu_{i_l}-\rho_{j_m}\right)^2]^{-1} && (4.86)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz.z\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_{\mp}\left(z\right)\left(1+a_1\nu_1e^{-\varphi_1}\Gamma_-\left(\nu_1\right)\right)\left(1+b_1\rho_1e^{\eta_1}\Gamma_+\left(\rho_1\right)\right)\cdots && (4.87)\\
&\left(1+a_N\nu_Ne^{-\varphi_N}\Gamma_-\left(\nu_N\right)\right)\left(1+b_N\rho_Ne^{\eta_N}\Gamma_+\left(\rho_N\right)\right)|\lambda_o\rangle\,. && (4.88)
\end{aligned}$$

According to Eq C.15, in order to have non vanishing terms, we must have equal number of operators Γ_+ and Γ_- inside the states $\langle\lambda_o|$ and $|\lambda_o\rangle$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz.z\sum_{n=1}^{N} A_1^{m_1}...A_N^{m_N}B_1^{n_1}...B_N^{n_N}\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_{\mp}\left(z\right)\Gamma_-^{m_1}\left(\nu_1\right)...\Gamma_-^{m_N}\left(\nu_N\right)\cdot && (4.89)\\
&\Gamma_+^{n_1}\left(\rho_1\right)...\Gamma_+^{n_N}\left(\rho_N\right)|\lambda_o\rangle\,, && (4.90)
\end{aligned}$$

where the exponents satisfy the following relations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i \pm 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i = n \tag{4.91}$$

$$m_i, n_i = 0, 1. \tag{4.92}$$

Reordering the operators we may write

$$\tau^{+} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint d\nu.\nu \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_n\leq N,\; 1\leq j_1<j_2<\ldots<j_{n+1}\leq N} A_{i_1}\ldots A_{i_n} B_{j_1}\ldots B_{j_{n+1}} \tag{4.93}$$

$$\left\langle \lambda_o \right| \Gamma_{-}(\nu)\, \Gamma_{-}(\nu_{i_1}) \ldots \Gamma_{-}(\nu_{i_n})\, \Gamma_{+}(\rho_{j_1}) \ldots \Gamma_{+}\left(\rho_{j_{n+1}}\right) \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle , \tag{4.94}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_n\leq N\; 1\leq j_1<j_2<\ldots<j_{n+1}\leq N} \oint d\nu.\nu \left(\prod_{0<l\leq n} \epsilon(-\alpha, \alpha_{i_l})(\nu-\nu_{i_l})^2 \right) \tag{4.95}$$

$$\left(\prod_{0<m\leq n+1} \epsilon(-\alpha, \alpha_{j_m})(\nu-\rho_{j_m})^2 \right)^{-1} A_{i_1}\ldots A_{i_n} B_{j_1}\ldots B_{j_{n+1}} \tag{4.96}$$

$$\left(\prod_{0\leq l<m\leq n} \epsilon(\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{i_m})(\nu_{i_l}-\nu_{i_m})^2 \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{0\leq l<m\leq n+1} \epsilon(\alpha_{j_l}, \alpha_{j_m})(\rho_{j_l}-\rho_{j_m})^2 \right) \tag{4.97}$$

$$\left(\prod_{1\leq l\leq m\leq n+1,\, l\neq n+1} \epsilon(\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{j_m})(\nu_{i_l}-\rho_{j_m})^2 \right)^{-1} . \tag{4.98}$$

In Appendix F we show that the contour integration in the variable ν is equal to $2\pi i$ for any value of n. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^{+} = {} & \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_n\leq N,\, 1\leq j_1<j_2<\ldots<j_{n+1}\leq N} A_{i_1}\ldots A_{i_n} B_{j_1}\ldots B_{j_{n+1}} \left(\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n} \epsilon(\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{i_m})(\nu_{i_l}-\nu_{i_m})^2 \right) \cdot \\
& \left(\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n+1} \epsilon(\alpha_{j_l}, \alpha_{j_m})(\rho_{j_l}-\rho_{j_m})^2 \right) \cdot \left(\prod_{0<l\leq n} \epsilon(-\alpha, \alpha_{i_l}) \right) \cdot \\
& \left(\prod_{1\leq l\leq m\leq n+1} \epsilon(\alpha_{i_l}, \alpha_{j_m})(\nu_{i_l}-\rho_{j_m})^2 \right)^{-1} \left(\prod_{0<m\leq n+1} \epsilon(-\alpha, \alpha_{j_m}) \right)^{-1} .
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise we have

$$\tau^{-} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint d\rho.\rho \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_n\leq N,\; 1\leq j_1<j_2<\ldots<j_{n+1}\leq N} B_{i_1}\ldots B_{i_n} A_{j_1}\ldots A_{j_{n+1}} .$$

$$\left\langle \lambda_o \right| \Gamma_{+}(\rho)\, \Gamma_{+}(\rho_{i_1}) \ldots \Gamma_{+}(\rho_{i_n})\, \Gamma_{-}(\nu_{j_1}) \ldots \Gamma_{-}\left(\nu_{j_{n+1}}\right) \left| \lambda_o \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_n\leq N,\; 1\leq j_1<j_2<\ldots<j_{n+1}\leq N} \oint d\rho.\rho \left(\prod_{0<l\leq n} \epsilon(\alpha, \alpha_{i_l})(\rho-\rho_{i_l})^2 \right)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(\prod_{0<m\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\alpha,\alpha_{j_m}\right)\left(\rho-\nu_{j_m}\right)^2\right)^{-1} B_{i_1}...B_{i_n}A_{j_1}...A_{j_{n+1}}\\
&\left(\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n}\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{i_m}\right)\left(\rho_{i_l}-\rho_{i_m}\right)^2\right)\cdot\left(\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\alpha_{j_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right)\left(\nu_{j_l}-\nu_{j_m}\right)^2\right)\\
&\left(\prod_{1\leq l\leq m\leq n+1,\, l\neq n+1}\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right)\left(\rho_{i_l}-\nu_{j_m}\right)^2\right)^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

the contour integration in ρ is also equal to $2\pi i$ (see Appendix F) for any value of n. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^- \;=\;& \sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\;\sum_{1\leq i_1<i_2<...<i_n\leq N,\, 1\leq j_1<j_2<...<j_{n+1}\leq N} B_{i_1}...B_{i_n}A_{j_1}...A_{j_{n+1}}\left(\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n}\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{i_m}\right)\left(\rho_{i_l}-\rho_{i_m}\right)^2\right)\cdot\\
&\left(\prod_{1\leq l<m\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\alpha_{j_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right)\left(\nu_{j_l}-\nu_{j_m}\right)^2\right)\left(\prod_{0<l\leq n}\epsilon\left(\alpha,\alpha_{i_l}\right)\right)\cdot\\
&\left(\prod_{1\leq l\leq m\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\alpha_{i_l},\alpha_{j_m}\right)\left(\rho_{i_l}-\nu_{j_m}\right)^2\right)^{-1}\left(\prod_{0<m\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\alpha,\alpha_{j_m}\right)\right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar expressions were found by Kac and Wakimoto [19] in the context of their generalized Hirota equations approach.

5 Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Profs. L.A. Ferreira and J.F. Gomes for enlightening discussions, and Prof. A.H. Zimerman for suggesting me to study this problem and valuable discussions. I thank Prof. B.M. Pimentel for his encouragement. This work was supported by FAPESP under grant 96/00212-0.

A Appendix: The "untwisted" Kac-Moody algebras and their integrable highest-weight representations

We present the necessary Kac-Moody algebra notations and conventions used to construct integrable models, as well as, the theory of the so called "highest weight integrable representations" which are useful in the construction of their soliton solutions. A complete treatment can be found in [13, 20].

An "untwisted" Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{g}$ affine to a finite Lie algebra g can be realized as an extension of the "loop algebra" of g:

$$
\widehat{g}=\left(g\otimes\mathbf{C}[z,z^{-1}]\right)\oplus\mathbf{C}C\oplus\mathbf{C}D, \tag{A.1}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}[z,z^{-1}]$ is the algebra of Laurent Polynomials in z and $\mathbf{C}x$ $(x = C, D)$ is a 1 dimensional subspace. Writing an element of the loop algebra as $a_{n\equiv}\ (a \otimes z^m)$, where $a \in g$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, then the algebra can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} [a_n, b_m] &= [a,b]_{n+m} + \delta_{m+n,0}\,(a,b)\,mC, \\ [D, a_n] &= na_n \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

$$[D,D] = [C,D] = [C,C] = [C,a_n] = 0, \tag{A.3}$$

where (a,b) is the Killing form of g and $[a,b]$ is the Lie bracket in g. C is the central element of $\widehat{g}$, and D is a derivative operator which induces a natural integer gradation of $\widehat{g}$

$$\widehat{g} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{g}_i,$$

where $[D, \widehat{g}_i] = i\widehat{g}_i$. The operator D defines the so called "homogeneous gradation".

Here let us point out that there is a natural Heisenberg subalgebra of $\widehat{g}$. Introducing a triangular decomposition of the finite algebra $g = n_- \oplus h \oplus n_+$, one can define an homogeneous Heisenberg algebra as an algebra composed of the elements $\{h \otimes z^n, C\}$ such that

$$[a_n, b_m] = \delta_{m+n,0}\,(a,b)\,mC.$$

In the Cartan-Weyl basis the commutation relations are given as

$$[H_i^m, H_j^n] = mC\delta_{ij}\delta_{m,-n}, \tag{A.4}$$

$$[H_i^m, E_\alpha^n] = \alpha_i E_\alpha^{m+n}, \tag{A.5}$$

$$[E_\alpha^m, E_\beta^n] = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \varepsilon(\alpha,\beta) E_{\alpha+\beta}^{m+n} & \text{, if } \alpha+\beta \text{ is a root} \\ \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\alpha\cdot H^{m+n} + Cm\delta_{m+n,0}, & \text{if } \alpha+\beta = 0 \\ 0 & \text{, in other case} \end{array} \right. \tag{A.6}$$

$$[C, E_\alpha^m] = [C, H_\alpha^m] = 0 \tag{A.7}$$

$$[D, E_\alpha^n] = nE_\alpha^n, \qquad [D, H_i^n] = nH_i^n. \tag{A.8}$$

In this case the Cartan subalgebra is formed by the generators $\{H_i^{(0)}, C, D\}$ and the step operators are:
- E_α^n associated to the roots $a = (\alpha, 0, n)$, where α belongs to the set of roots of g and n are integers,
- H_i^n associated to the roots $n\delta = (0,0,n)$ with $n \neq 0$.

The positive roots are $(\alpha, 0, n)$ for $n > 0$ or $n = 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, and among them the simple roots being $a_i = (\alpha_i, 0, 0)$, $\quad i = 1, ...r,$ and $\alpha_o = (-\psi, 0, 1)$ with ψ the maximal root of g.

The representation theory of the Kac-Moody algebra in terms of vertex operators usually make use of the Cartan-Weyl basis [18], see Appendix B. Instead, to construct the so called "integrable highest weight representations" [13, 20] of the "untwisted" affine Kac-Moody algebra we will need the Chevalley basis commutation relations (the notations and presentation here follow closely the Appendix of [21])

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[H_a^m, H_b^n] = mC\eta_{ab}\delta_{m+n,0} && \text{(A.9)}\\
&[H_a^m, E_\alpha^n] = \sum_{b=1}^{r} m_b^\alpha K_{ba} E_\alpha^{m+n} && \text{(A.10)}\\
&[E_\alpha^m, E_{-\alpha}^n] = \sum_{a=1}^{r} l_a^\alpha H_a^{m+n} + \frac{2}{\alpha^2} mC\delta_{m+n,0} && \text{(A.11)}\\
&\left[E_\alpha^m, E_\beta^n\right] = (q+1)\,\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta) E_{\alpha+\beta}^{m+n}; \qquad \text{se } \alpha+\beta \text{ é uma raiz} && \text{(A.12)}\\
&[C, E_\alpha^m] = [C, H_\alpha^m] = 0 && \text{(A.13)}\\
&[D, E_\alpha^n] = nE_\alpha^n, \qquad [D, H_a^n] = nH_a^n. && \text{(A.14)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{ab} = 2\alpha_a \cdot \alpha_b/\alpha_b^2$ is the Cartan matrix of the finite simple Lie algebra g associated to $\widehat{g}$ and generated by $\{H_a^0, E_\alpha^0\}$. $\eta_{ab} = \frac{2}{\alpha_a^2} K_{ab} = \eta_{ba}$, q is the highest positive integer such that $\beta - q\alpha$ is a root, $\varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)$ are $(\pm)$ signs determined by the Jacobi identities, l_a^α and m_a^α are the integers in the expansion $\alpha/\alpha^2 = \sum_{a=1}^r l_a^\alpha \alpha_a/\alpha_a^2$ and $\alpha = \sum_{a=1}^r m_a^\alpha \alpha_a$ respectively, where $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r$ are the simple roots of g ($r \equiv$ rank of g). $\widehat{g}$ has a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form which can be normalized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Tr\,(H_a^m H_b^n) &= \eta_{ab}\delta_{m+n,0}\\
Tr\left(E_\alpha^m E_\beta^n\right) &= \frac{2}{\alpha^2}\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\delta_{m+n,0}\\
Tr\,(CD) &= 1.
\end{aligned}
$$

The integer gradations of $\widehat{g}$

$$
\widehat{g} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \widehat{g}_n
$$

have been presented in [13]. The gradation operator Q_s satisfying

$$
[Q_{\mathbf{s}}, \widehat{g}_n] = n\widehat{g}_n; \qquad n \in \mathbf{Z}, \tag{A.15}
$$

is defined by

$$
Q_{\mathbf{s}} = H_{\mathbf{s}} + N_{\mathbf{s}}D + \sigma C, \quad H_{\mathbf{s}} = \sum_{a=1}^{r} s_a \lambda_a^v \cdot H^0, \quad H^0 = \left(H_1^0, ...H_r^0\right) \tag{A.16}
$$

where $(s_o, s_1, ..., s_r)$ is a $n-$tuple of non-negative co-prime integers, and $\lambda_a^v \equiv 2\lambda_a/\alpha_a^2$ with λ_a and α_a being the fundamental weights and the simple roots of g respectively. Moreover,

$$
N_s = \sum_{i=0}^{r} s_i m_i^\psi, \quad \psi = \sum_{a=1}^{r} m_a^\psi \alpha_a, \quad m_0^\psi \equiv 1, \tag{A.17}
$$

with ψ being the maximal root of g. The value of σ is arbitrary. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
[Q_{\mathbf{s}}, H_a^n] &= nN_{\mathbf{s}} H_a^n \\
[Q_{\mathbf{s}}, E_\alpha^n] &= \left(\sum_{a=1}^{r} m_a^\alpha s_a + nN_{\mathbf{s}} \right) E_\alpha^n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The positive and negative "step operators" of $\widehat{g}$ associated to the simple roots are:

$$
e_a \equiv E_{\alpha_a}^0, \quad e_o \equiv E_{-\psi}^1, \quad f_a \equiv E_{-\alpha_a}^o \quad \text{e} \quad f_o \equiv E_\psi^{-1}, \tag{A.18}
$$

and its Cartan subalgebra generated by

$$
h_a \equiv H_a^0, \quad h_0 \equiv -\sum_{a=1}^{r} l_a^\psi H_a^0 + \frac{2}{\psi^2} C \quad \text{e} \quad D, \tag{A.19}
$$

with l_a^ψ given above; then they satisfy

$$
[Q_{\mathbf{s}}, h_i] = [Q_{\mathbf{s}}, D] = 0; \quad [Q_{\mathbf{s}}, e_i] = s_i e_i; \qquad [Q_{\mathbf{s}}, f_i] = -s_i f_i; \quad i = 0, 1, ..., r. \tag{A.20}
$$

An important class of representations of the Kac-Moody algebra are the so called "integrable highest-weight representations" [13]. They are defined in terms of a highest weight $|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$ labelled by the gradation $\mathbf{s}$ of $\widehat{g}$. That state is annihilated by the positive grade generators

$$
\widehat{g}_+ \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle = 0, \tag{A.21}
$$

and it is an eigenstate of the generators of the subalgebra $\widehat{g}_o$

$$
h_i \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle = s_i \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle \tag{A.22}
$$

$$
f_i \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle = 0; \qquad \text{for any } i \text{ with } s_i = 0 \tag{A.23}
$$

$$
Q_s \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle = \eta_s \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle \tag{A.24}
$$

$$
C \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle = \frac{\psi^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r} l_i^\psi s_i \right) \left|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\right\rangle , \tag{A.25}
$$

where l_i^ψ is given by

$$
\frac{\psi}{\psi^2} = \sum_{a=1}^{r} l_a^\psi \frac{\alpha_a}{\alpha_a^2}; \quad l_0^\psi = 1. \tag{A.26}
$$

The eigenvalue of the central element C in the representation $|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$, is known as the level of the representation

$$
c = \frac{\psi^2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r} l_i^\psi s_i \right), \tag{A.27}
$$

in particular, the "highest-weight integrable representations" with $c = 1$ are known as "basic representations".

The states of highest weight $|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$ can be realized as

$$|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle \equiv \bigotimes_{i=0}^{r} \left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle^{\otimes s_i}, \tag{A.28}$$

where $\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle$ are the highest states of the fundamental representations of $\widehat{g}$, and $\widehat{\lambda}_i$ are the relevant fundamental weights of $\widehat{g}$, . They are given by [18]

$$\widehat{\lambda}_0 = \left(0, \frac{\psi^2}{2}, 0\right) \tag{A.29}$$

$$\widehat{\lambda}_a = \left(\lambda_a, \frac{l_a^\psi \psi^2}{2}, 0\right), \tag{A.30}$$

where λ_a, $a = 1, 2..., r$ are the fundamental weights of the finite Lie algebra g associated to $\widehat{g}$, l_a^ψ is defined in A.26, and the corresponding components are the eigenvalues of H_a^0, C and D respectively, viz.

$$H_a^0 \left|\widehat{\lambda}_0\right\rangle = 0; \quad C\left|\widehat{\lambda}_0\right\rangle = \frac{\psi^2}{2}\left|\widehat{\lambda}_0\right\rangle \tag{A.31}$$

$$H_b^0 \left|\widehat{\lambda}_a\right\rangle = \delta_{a,b}\left|\widehat{\lambda}_a\right\rangle; \quad C\left|\widehat{\lambda}_a\right\rangle = \frac{\psi^2}{2} l_a^\psi \left|\widehat{\lambda}_0\right\rangle \tag{A.32}$$

and

$$D\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle = 0. \tag{A.33}$$

Let us notice that in each of the $r+1$ fundamental representations of $\widehat{g}$, the (unique) highest weight state satisfies

$$h_j\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle = \delta_{ij}\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle \tag{A.34}$$

$$e_j\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle = 0, \, \forall\, j \tag{A.35}$$

$$f_j\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq i \tag{A.36}$$

$$f_j^2\left|\widehat{\lambda}_i\right\rangle = 0. \tag{A.37}$$

Then the generators e_i and f_i are nilpotent when acting on $|\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}\rangle$, and these are indeed, integrable representations.

B The construction of the homogeneous vertex operators

The construction of vertex operator representations of Kac-Moody algebras can be found in [13, 22]. The construction of the homogeneous vertex operators is based on the homogeneous Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathbf{h}$. Here we follow the construction developed in [13].

Consider

$$g = \mathbf{h} \bigoplus \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Delta} \mathbf{C} E_\alpha\right), \tag{B.1}$$

g being a simple finite Lie algebra of type A_l, D_l or E_l, whose commutation relations are given by

$$[h, h^,] = 0, \qquad \text{if } h,\ h^, \in \mathbf{h} \tag{B.2}$$

$$[h, E_\alpha] = (h \mid \alpha)\, E_\alpha, \qquad \text{if } h \in \mathbf{h}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta \tag{B.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] &= -\alpha, && \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta \\ [E_\alpha, E_\beta] &= 0, && \text{if } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta, \quad \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta \cup \{0\} \\ [E_\alpha, E_\beta] &= \varepsilon\,(\alpha, \beta)\, E_{\alpha+\beta}, && \text{if } \alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta = \{\alpha \in Q / (\alpha \mid \alpha) = 2\}$ and Q is the root lattice; $(\quad\mid\quad)$ is the invariant symmetric form of g, normalized as follows:

$$(h \mid E_\alpha) = 0, \text{ if } h \in \mathbf{h}, \quad \alpha \in \Delta; \qquad (E_\alpha, E_\beta) = -\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}, \text{ if } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta.$$

Let

$$\widehat{g} = \mathbf{C}\left[t, t^{-1}\right] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} g + \mathbf{C}C + \mathbf{C}D, \tag{B.4}$$

be an affine algebra of type $A_n^{(1)}, D_n^{(1)}$ or $E_n^{(1)}$, respectively.

Consider the complex commutative associative algebra

$$V = S\left(\bigoplus_{j<0} (t^j \otimes \mathbf{h})\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C}\,[Q]\,, \tag{B.5}$$

where S stands for the symmetric algebra and $\mathbf{C}\,[Q]$ stands for the group algebra of the root lattice $Q \subset \mathbf{h}$ of g. Let $\alpha \to e^\alpha$ denote the inclusion $Q \subset \mathbf{C}\,[Q]$(a base of the vector space $\mathbf{C}\,[Q]$ is given by the elements e^α, $\alpha \in Q$, and, it is defined the twisted product of the group algebra elements, $e^\alpha e^\beta = \varepsilon\,(\alpha, \beta)\, e^{\alpha+\beta}$ [23]); $u^{(n)}$ will stand for $t^n \otimes u$ $(n \in \mathbf{Z},\ u \in g)$. For $n > 0$, $u \in \mathbf{h}$, denote by $u\,(-n)$ the operator on V of multiplication by $u^{(-n)}$. For $n \geq 0$, $u \in \mathbf{h}$, denote by $u\,(n)$ the derivation of the algebra V defined by the formula

$$u(n)\left(v^{(-m)} \otimes e^\alpha\right) = n\delta_{n,-m}\,(u \mid v) \otimes e^\alpha + \delta_{n,0}\,(\alpha \mid u)\, v^{(-m)} \otimes e^\alpha \tag{B.6}$$

Choosing dual bases u_i and u^i of $\mathbf{h}$, define the operator D_o on V by the formula

$$D_o = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i(0) u^i(0) + \sum_{n\geq 1} u_i(-n) u^i(n)\right). \tag{B.7}$$

Furthermore, for $\alpha \in Q$, define the sign operator c_α:

$$c_\alpha\left(f \otimes e^\beta\right) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) f \otimes e^\beta. \tag{B.8}$$

Finaly, for $\alpha \in \Delta \subset Q$ introduce the **vertex operator**

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{z^j}{j} \alpha(-j)\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} \alpha(j)\right) e^\alpha z^{\alpha(0)} c_\alpha, \tag{B.9}$$

here z is viewed as an indeterminate. Expanding in powers of z:

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z) = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} \Gamma_\alpha^{(j)} z^{-j-1}, \tag{B.10}$$

we obtain a sequence of operators $\Gamma_\alpha^{(j)}$ on V. Now we can state the result.

Theorem: The map $\sigma : \widehat{g} \to End\,(V)$ defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcll} C & \longrightarrow & 1, & \\ u^{(n)} & \longrightarrow & u\,(n)\,, \qquad \text{for } u \in \mathbf{h}, & \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ E_\alpha^{(n)} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_\alpha^{(n)}, \qquad \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta, & \qquad \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{Z}, \\ D & \longrightarrow & -D_o, & \end{array} \tag{B.11}$$

defines the basic representation of the affine algebra $\widehat{g}$ on V. $End\,(V)$ denotes the space of the linear maps of an vector space V on itself.

The proof of this theorem is presented in [13].

C Homogeneous vertex operator calculus

Defining

$$\Gamma_\alpha^\pm(z) = \exp \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{\alpha\,(\pm j)}{\mp j} z^{\mp j}, \quad \Gamma_\alpha^0(z) = e^\alpha z^{\alpha(0)} c_\alpha, \tag{C.1}$$

the following relations can be obtained:

$$\Gamma_\alpha^-(z_1)\Gamma_\beta^+(z_2) = \Gamma_\beta^+(z_2)\Gamma_\alpha^-(z_1)\left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^{(\alpha|\beta)} \tag{C.2}$$

and

$$\Gamma_\alpha^0(z_1)\Gamma_\beta^0(z_2) = e^{\alpha+\beta} z_1^{\alpha(0)} z_2^{\beta(0)} z_1^{(\alpha|\beta)} c_\alpha c_\beta \varepsilon\,(\alpha, \beta)\,, \tag{C.3}$$

where $\left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^m$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, with $\mid \frac{z_2}{z_1} \mid \leq 1$.

From (C.2) and (C.3) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\alpha(z_1)\Gamma_\beta(z_2) \;=\; & \left(1 - \frac{z_2}{z_1}\right)^{(\alpha|\beta)} z_1^{(\alpha|\beta)} \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) \exp\left[\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{j}\left(z_1^j \alpha(-j) + z_2^j \beta(-j)\right)\right] \\ & \exp\left[-\sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{1}{j}\left(z_1^{-j}\alpha(j) + z_2^{-j}\beta(j)\right)\right] e^{\alpha+\beta} z_1^{\alpha(0)} z_2^{\beta(0)} c_\alpha c_\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

If $z \equiv z_1 = z_2$ and $\alpha = \beta$, from (C.4) we can obtain

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z)\Gamma_\alpha(z) = 0,$$

or

$$\left[\Gamma_\alpha(z)\right]^n = 0, \qquad \text{for} \quad n \geq 2. \tag{C.5}$$

A useful formula

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_N}(z_N)\Gamma_{\alpha_{N-1}}(z_{N-1})...\Gamma_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\,(1\otimes 1) = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \varepsilon(\alpha_i,\alpha_j)\,(z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}\,.$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}} \frac{z_i^j}{j}\alpha_i(-j)\right)\otimes\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_i\right) \tag{C.6}$$

Proof.: We will prove by induction
for $N=1$

$$\Gamma_\alpha(z_1)\,(1\otimes 1) = \exp\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^j}{j}\alpha(-j)\exp-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^{-j}}{j}\alpha(j)e^\alpha z_1^{\alpha(0)}c_\alpha \quad ; \tag{C.7}$$

we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} c_\alpha\,(1\otimes 1) &= \varepsilon(\alpha,0)1\otimes 1, \qquad \text{consider the convention: } \varepsilon(\alpha,0)\equiv 1 \\ &= 1\otimes 1 \end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^{\alpha(0)}\,(1\otimes 1) &= 1\otimes\left(e^{\ln z_1\alpha(0)}\right)1 \\ &= 1\otimes 1. \end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

where we used

$$e^\alpha\,(1\otimes 1)\equiv e^\alpha\,(1\otimes e^\alpha) = 1\otimes e^\alpha \tag{C.10}$$

From (B.6) we have

$$u(n)\left(v^{(0)}\otimes e^\alpha\right)\equiv u(n)\,(1\otimes e^\alpha) = n\delta_{n,0}\,(u\mid v)\otimes e^\alpha + \delta_{n,0}\,(\alpha\mid u)\,v^{(0)}\otimes e^\alpha$$

then for $(n>0)$

$$u(n)\,(1\otimes 1) = 0. \tag{C.11}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \exp-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^{-j}}{j}\alpha(j)\,(1\otimes e^\alpha) &= \left[\left(1-\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^{-j}}{j}\alpha(j)+...\right)1\right]\otimes e^\alpha \\ &= 1\otimes e^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

Besides we can write

$$\exp\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^j}{j}\alpha(-j)\,(1\otimes e^\alpha) = \sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^j}{j}\alpha(-j)\otimes e^\alpha,$$

thus

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\,(1\otimes 1) = \left(\exp\sum_{j\geq 1}\frac{z_1^j}{j}\alpha_1(-j)\right)\otimes e^{\alpha_1}, \tag{C.13}$$

which is equal to the equation (C.6) for $N = 1$.

Now, let us assume that (C.6) is true for a given N, and we will write (C.6) as

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_N}(z_N)\Gamma_{\alpha_{N-1}}(z_{N-1})...\Gamma_{\alpha 1}(z_1)\,(1 \otimes 1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\,(z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \cdot$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{z_i^j}{j} \alpha_i(-j) \right) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \right) (1 \otimes 1)\,. \tag{C.14}$$

Multiplying (C.14) by $\Gamma_{\alpha_{N+1}}(z_{N+1})$ and using the relations (C.2), (C.3) and (C.7)-(C.12) many times, we can write

$$\Gamma_{\alpha_{N+1}}(z_{N+1})\Gamma_{\alpha_N}(z_N)...\Gamma_{\alpha 1}(z_1)\,(1 \otimes 1) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\,(z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \cdot$$

$$\prod_{1 \leq k < N+1} \varepsilon(\alpha_k, \alpha_{N+1})\,(z_k - z_{N+1})^{(\alpha_k|\alpha_{N+1})} \cdot \left(\exp \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{z_{N+!}^j}{j} \alpha_{N+1}(-j) \right) e^{\alpha_{N+1}} \cdot$$

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N} \exp \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{z_i^j}{j} \alpha_i(-j) \right) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \right) (1 \otimes 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} = & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\,(z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \exp \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{z_i^j}{j} \alpha_i(-j) \right) \cdot \\ & \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \alpha_i \right) (1 \otimes 1) \\ = & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N+1} \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\,(z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N+1} \exp \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{z_i^j}{j} \alpha_i(-j) \right) \otimes \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N+1} \alpha_i \right), \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the expression (C.6) written for $N + 1$. This way the equation (C.6) is proved.

Moreover, making the correspondence

$$|\lambda_o\rangle \longleftrightarrow 1 \otimes 1,$$

where $|\lambda_o\rangle$ denotes a highest weight state of a fundamental representation, we can write

$$\langle \lambda_o|\, \Gamma_{\alpha_N}(z_N)\Gamma_{\alpha_{N-1}}(z_{N-1})...\Gamma_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\,|\lambda_o\rangle =$$

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i \neq 0 \\ \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)\,(z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i = 0 \end{cases} \tag{C.15}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\lambda_o|\exp\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}}\frac{z_i^j}{j}\alpha_i(-j) &= \langle\lambda_o|\left(1+\sum_{j\in\mathbf{N}}\frac{z_i^j}{j}\alpha_i(-j)+...\right)\\ &= \langle\lambda_o|\,,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle\lambda_o|\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_i\right)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_i\neq 0\\ \langle\lambda_o|\,, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{N}\alpha_i=0\end{cases}$$

D Matrix elements using a vertex operator representation of the algebra $\widehat{sl}_{r+1}$

Consider the correspondence

$$F_i\longrightarrow\nu_i\Gamma_{-\beta_i}\left(\nu_i\right),$$

$$G_i\longrightarrow\rho_i\Gamma_{\beta_i}\left(\rho_i\right).$$

then we can write

$$C_{l_1\ldots i_{l_1},\quad j_{k_1}\ldots j_{k_n}}=\langle\lambda_o|\,F_{i_{l_1}}...F_{i_{l_n}}G_{j_{k_1}}...G_{j_{k_n}}\,|\lambda_o\rangle$$

$$=\nu_{i_{l_1}}...\nu_{i_{l_n}}\rho_{j_{k_1}}...\rho_{j_{k_n}}\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_{-\beta_{i_{l_1}}}\left(\nu_{i_{l_1}}\right)...\Gamma_{-\beta_{i_{l_n}}}\left(\nu_{i_{l_n}}\right)\Gamma_{\beta_{j_{k_1}}}\left(\rho_{j_{k_1}}\right)...\Gamma_{\beta_{j_{k_n}}}\left(\rho j_{k_n}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}= \quad&\{\delta_{\overrightarrow{0},\beta_{j_{k_1}}+\cdots\beta_{j_{k_n}}-\beta_{i_{l_1}}-\cdots\beta_{i_{l_n}}}\nu_{i_{l_1}}...\nu_{i_{l_n}}\rho_{j_{k_1}}...\rho_{j_{k_n}}\,.\\ &\prod_{1\leq a<b\leq n}\epsilon\left(\beta_{j_{k_a}},\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\epsilon\left(-\beta_{i_{l_a}},-\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)\left(\rho_{j_{k_a}}-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{j_{k_a}}|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\left(\nu_{i_{l_a}}-\nu_{i_{l_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{i_{l_a}}|\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)}\}/\{\prod_{1\leq a<b\leq n}\epsilon\left(-\beta_{i_{l_a}},\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\left(\nu_{i_{l_a}}-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{i_{l_a}}|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}\},\tag{D.1}$$

where we have used equation (C.15).

$$\begin{aligned}C^{+}_{ii_{l_1}\ldots i_{l_n},j_{k_1}\ldots j_{k_{n+1}}} &= \langle\lambda_o|\,E^{(1)}_{-\beta_i}F_{i_{l_1}}...F_{i_{l_n}}G_{j_{k_1}}...G_{j_{k_{n+1}}}\,|\lambda_o\rangle\\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint d\nu.\nu\nu_{i_{l_1}}...\nu_{i_{l_n}}\rho_{j_{k_1}}...\rho_{j_{k_{n+1}}}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_{-\beta_i}\left(\nu\right)\Gamma_{-\beta_{i_{l_1}}}\left(\nu_{i_{l_1}}\right)...\Gamma_{-\beta_{i_{l_n}}}\left(\nu_{i_{l_n}}\right)\Gamma_{\beta_{j_{k_1}}}\left(\rho_{j_{k_1}}\right)...\Gamma_{\beta_{j_{k_{n+1}}}}\left(\rho j_{k_{n+1}}\right)|\lambda_o\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint d\nu.\nu\nu_{i_{l_1}}...\nu_{i_{l_n}}\rho_{j_{k_1}}...\rho_{j_{k_{n+1}}}\delta_{\overrightarrow{0},\beta_{j_{k_1}}+\cdots\beta_{j_{k_{n+1}}}-\beta_i-\beta_{i_{l_1}}-\cdots\beta_{i_{l_n}}}\cdot$$

$$\{\prod_{0<a\leq n}\epsilon\left(-\beta_i,-\beta_{i_{l_a}}\right)\left(\nu-\nu_{i_{l_a}}\right)^{\left(\beta_i|\beta_{i_{l_a}}\right)}\prod_{0<b\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(-\beta_i,\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\left(\nu-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{-\left(\beta_i|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}\cdot$$

$$\prod_{0\leq a<b\leq n}\epsilon\left(-\beta_{i_{l_a}},-\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)\left(\nu_{i_{l_a}}-\nu_{i_{l_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{i_{l_a}}|\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)}\prod_{0\leq a<b\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\beta_{j_{k_a}},\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\cdot$$

$$\left(\rho_{j_{k_a}}-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{-\left(\beta_{j_{k_a}}|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}\}/\{\prod_{0\leq a\leq b\leq n,\, a\neq n+1}\epsilon\left(-\beta_{i_{l_a}},\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\left(\nu_{i_{l_a}}-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{i_{l_a}}|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}\}. \tag{D.2}$$

In the last relation we have written the following contour integration:

$$I_1=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint d\nu.\nu\frac{\prod_{0<a\leq n}\epsilon\left(-\beta_i,-\beta_{i_{l_a}}\right)\left(\nu-\nu_{i_{l_a}}\right)^{\left(\beta_i|\beta_{i_{l_a}}\right)}}{\prod_{0<b\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(-\beta_i,\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\left(\nu-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_i|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}}\cdot \tag{D.3}$$

Likewise we have

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-}_{l_1\cdots i_{l_{n+1}},ij_{k_1}\cdots j_{k_n}} &= \left\langle\lambda_o\right| E^{(1)}_{\beta_i}F_{i_{l_1}}...F_{i_{l_{n+1}}}G_{j_{k_1}}...G_{j_{k_n}}\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint d\rho.\rho\nu_{i_{l_1}}...\nu_{i_{l_{n+1}}}\rho_{j_{k_1}}...\rho_{j_{k_n}}\cdot \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle\lambda_o\right|\Gamma_{\beta_i}\left(\rho\right)\Gamma_{-\beta_{i_{l_1}}}\left(\nu_{i_{l_1}}\right)...\Gamma_{-\beta_{i_{l_{n+1}}}}\left(\nu_{i_{l_{n+1}}}\right)\Gamma_{\beta_{j_{k_1}}}\left(\rho_{j_{k_1}}\right)...\Gamma_{\beta_{j_{k_n}}}\left(\rho j_{k_n}\right)\left|\lambda_o\right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint d\rho.\rho\nu_{i_{l_1}}...\nu_{i_{l_{n+1}}}\rho_{j_{k_1}}...\rho_{j_{k_n}}\delta_{\overrightarrow{0},\beta_i+\beta_{j_{k_1}}+\cdots\beta_{j_{k_n}}-\beta_{i_{l_1}}-\cdots\beta_{i_{l_{n+1}}}}\cdot$$

$$\{\prod_{0<a\leq n}\epsilon\left(\beta_i,\beta_{j_{k_a}}\right)\left(\rho-\rho_{j_{k_a}}\right)^{\left(\beta_i|\beta_{j_{k_a}}\right)}\prod_{0<b\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\beta_i,\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)\left(\rho-\nu_{i_{l_b}}\right)^{-\left(\beta_i|\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)}\cdot$$

$$\prod_{0\leq a<b\leq n}\epsilon\left(\beta_{j_{k_a}},\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\left(\rho_{j_{k_a}}-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{j_{k_a}}|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}\prod_{0\leq a<b\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(-\beta_{i_{l_a}},-\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)\cdot$$

$$\left(\nu_{i_{l_a}}-\nu_{i_{l_b}}\right)^{-\left(\beta_{i_{l_a}}|\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)}\}/\{\prod_{0\leq a\leq b\leq n,\, b\neq n+1}\epsilon\left(-\beta_{i_{l_a}},\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)\left(\nu_{i_{l_a}}-\rho_{j_{k_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_{i_{l_a}}|\beta_{j_{k_b}}\right)}\}. \tag{D.4}$$

This time the relevant contour integration becomes

$$I_2=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint d\rho.\rho\frac{\prod_{0<a\leq n}\epsilon\left(\beta_i,-\beta_{j_{k_a}}\right)\left(\rho-\rho_{j_{k_a}}\right)^{\left(\beta_i|\beta_{j_{k_a}}\right)}}{\prod_{0<b\leq n+1}\epsilon\left(\beta_i,\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)\left(\rho-\nu_{i_{l_b}}\right)^{\left(\beta_i|\beta_{i_{l_b}}\right)}}\cdot \tag{D.5}$$

E Matrix elements using the homogeneous vertex operator representation of the Kac-Moody algebra $\widehat{sl}_2$

In the case of the affine algebra $\widehat{sl}_2$, we have the generators

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and let us choose the following dual bases of $\widehat{sl}_2$

$$\left\{ t^n\alpha, t^n e, t^n f, C, D \right\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \frac{1}{2} t^{-n}\alpha, t^{-n} f, t^{-n} e, D, C \right\}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \mathbf{Z}\alpha, \quad (\alpha \mid \alpha) = 2, \quad \varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha) = \varepsilon(-\alpha,-\alpha) = -1, \quad \varepsilon(\alpha,\alpha) = \varepsilon(-\alpha,-\alpha) = 1 \\ &\text{(we are using the “gauge fixing” of [18, 24] for } \varepsilon(\alpha,\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Considering $q = e^\alpha$, we identify $\mathbf{C}[Q]$ with $\mathbf{C}[q, q^{-1}]$. Thus the homogeneous vertex operator construction can be described as follows

$$L(\lambda_o) = \mathbf{C}\left[x_1, x_2, ...; q, q^{-1} \right];$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(n)} &\mapsto 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha^{(-n)} \mapsto n x_n \quad \text{for} \quad n > 0, \qquad \alpha^{(0)} \mapsto 2q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}; \\ C &\mapsto 1, \qquad D \mapsto -\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right)^2 - \sum_{n\geq 1} n x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}; \end{aligned}$$

$$E(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} E_{\pm}^{(n)} z^{-n-1} \mapsto \Gamma_{\pm}(z)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\pm}(z) = \exp\left(\pm \sum_{j\geq 1} z^j x_j \right) \exp\left(\mp 2 \sum_{j\geq 1} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) q^{\pm 1} z^{\pm 2q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} c_{\pm\alpha}, \tag{E.1}$$

(note that $z^{\pm 2q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}}(q^n) = z^{\pm 2n} q^n$).

Then, we can make use of the Eqn.(C.15) to compute the matrix elements, viz.,

$$\langle \lambda_o | \Gamma_{\alpha_N}(z_N) \Gamma_{\alpha_{N-1}}(z_{N-1}) ... \Gamma_{\alpha_1}(z_1) | \lambda_o \rangle = \tag{E.2}$$

$$\begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i \neq 0 \\ \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq N} \varepsilon(\alpha_i,\alpha_j) (z_i - z_j)^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 0 \end{cases} \tag{E.3}$$

In this way we have two types of operators, $\Gamma_{\pm}(z)$, associated to α and -α respectively. We should have an even number of Γ's in Eqn. (C.15) in order to have a non zero value for $\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_{\alpha_N}(z_N)\Gamma_{\alpha_{N-1}}(z_{N-1})...\Gamma_{\alpha_1}(z_1)\,|\lambda_o\rangle$; thus we may choose $2N$ operators, such that N operators correspond to α and the remaining N of them correspond to $-\alpha$.

We provide some of the matrix components we used in the construction of *one-soliton* and *two-soliton* solutions of the system **NLS**. Defining

$$G_i = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_i^{-n} E_+^{(n)}, \qquad F_i = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \nu_i^{-n} E_-^{(n)}; \tag{E.4}$$

we can make the correspondence

$$G_i \longrightarrow \rho_i \Gamma_+(\rho_i)\,, \qquad F_i \longrightarrow \nu_i \Gamma_-(\nu_i)\,. \tag{E.5}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\lambda_o|\,G_iF_j\,|\lambda_o\rangle &= \langle\lambda_o|\,F_jG_i\,|\lambda_o\rangle = \rho_i\nu_j\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_+(\rho_i)\Gamma_-(\nu_j)\,|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \rho_i\nu_j\frac{\varepsilon(+,-)}{(\rho_i-\nu_j)^2} \\ &= \frac{\rho_i\nu_j}{(\rho_i-\nu_j)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

As a special case we compute the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\lambda_o|\,E_-^1G_i\,|\lambda_o\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z\rho_i\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_-(z)\Gamma_+(\rho_i)\,|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\rho_i\oint dz.\frac{z}{(z-\rho_i)^2} \\ &= \rho_i,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$E_-^1 = \oint dz\, z\Gamma_-(z),$$

where integration is over some curve encircling the origin.

The same method can be used to compute the following matrix element

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\lambda_o|\,E_-^1G_1F_2G_2\,|\lambda_o\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z\,\rho_1\,\nu_2\,\rho_2\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_-(z)\Gamma_+(\rho_1)\Gamma_-(\nu_2)\Gamma_+(\rho_2)\,|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\, z\,\rho_1\,\nu_2\,\rho_2\varepsilon(+,-)\varepsilon(+,+)\varepsilon(+,-)\varepsilon(-,+)\varepsilon(-,-)\varepsilon(+,-) \\ &\quad \frac{(\rho_2-\rho_1)^2\,(\nu_2-z)^2}{(\rho_2-\nu_2)^2\,(\nu_2-\rho_1)^2\,(\rho_2-z)^2\,(\rho_1-z)^2} \\ &= \rho_1\,\nu_2\,\rho_2\frac{(\rho_2-\rho_1)^2}{(\rho_2-\nu_2)^2\,(\nu_2-\rho_1)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

The remaining matrix elements can be computed in the same way. We give some of them

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\lambda_o|\,E_-^1G_i\,|\lambda_o\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz.z\rho_i\,\langle\lambda_o|\,\Gamma_-(z)\Gamma_+(\rho_i)\,|\lambda_o\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\rho_i\oint dz\,\frac{z}{(z-\rho_i)^2} \\ &= \rho_i\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle\lambda_o|\,F_iG_iF_jG_j\,|\lambda_o\rangle = \frac{\rho_i\,\nu_j\,\rho_i\rho_j\,(\rho_j-\rho_i)^2\,(\nu_j-\nu_i)^2}{(\rho_j-\nu_j)^2\,(\rho_j-\nu_i)^2\,(\rho_i-\nu_i)^2\,(\rho_i-\nu_j)^2}, \tag{E.6}$$

$$\langle\lambda_o|\,E_-^1G_iF_jG_j\,|\lambda_o\rangle = \frac{\rho_i\,\nu_j\,\rho_j\,(\rho_j-\rho_i)^2}{(\rho_j-\nu_j)^2\,(\nu_j-\rho_i)^2}, \tag{E.7}$$

$$\langle\lambda_o|\,E_+^1F_i\,|\lambda_o\rangle = \nu_i, \tag{E.8}$$

$$\langle\lambda_o|\,E_+^1F_iG_iF_j\,|\lambda_o\rangle = \frac{\nu_i\,\nu_j\,\rho_i\,(\nu_j-\nu_i)^2}{(\rho_i-\nu_j)^2\,(\nu_i-\rho_i)^2}. \tag{E.9}$$

These results suggest that a general matrix element could be expressed in terms of Vandermonde-like determinants. In fact, recently in [25] there was derived a natural relationship with the *Vandermonde-like determinants*. The resulting framework in our case may be well-suited to achieve a compactness and transparency in N-soliton formulas.

F The contour integration of the matrix elements in the case of $\widehat{sl}_2$

Let us show that the integral

$$I_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz.z\frac{(z-\nu_1)^2\ldots(z-\nu_{n-1})^2}{(z-\rho_1)^2\ldots(z-\rho_n)^2}, \qquad n\geq 1 \tag{F.1}$$

is equal to unity. We will prove by induction.

For $n=1$:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)^2} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that $I_n = 1$ for some $n > 1$ let us determine the form of I_{n+1}.

The expression for I_{n+1} can be written as

$$I_{n+1} = I_n + C_n, \tag{F.2}$$

where

$$C_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz.z\frac{(z-\nu_1)^2\cdots(z-\nu_{n-1})^2}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= \; & \frac{1}{2\pi i}\{\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2\,(\rho_3-\nu_2)^2\cdots(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+ \\
& [\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_3}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_3-\nu_2)^2\cdots(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_3)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+ \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_4}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2\,(\rho_4-\nu_3)^2\cdots(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_2)\,(z-\rho_4)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+\cdots+ \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\;\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2\,(\rho_3-\nu_2)^2\cdots(\rho_{n-1}-\nu_{n-2})^2\,(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_{n-1})\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}]+[\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_4}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\cdot \\
& \oint dz\,(\rho_4-\nu_3)^2\cdots(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_4)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_3}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_5}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\cdot \\
& \oint dz\,(\rho_3-\nu_2)^2\,(\rho_5-\nu_4)^2\cdots(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\,\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_3)\,(z-\rho_5)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+ \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_3}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_3-\nu_2)^2\cdots(\rho_{n-1}-\nu_{n-2})^2\,(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\cdots+ \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_3)\cdots(z-\rho_{n-1})\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_5}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\cdot \\
& \oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2\,(\rho_5-\nu_4)^2\cdots(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\,\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_2)\,(z-\rho_5)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+ \\
& \cdots+\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_4}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2\,(\rho_4-\nu_3)^2\cdots(\rho_{n-1}-\nu_{n-2})^2\cdot \\
& (\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\,\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_2)\,(z-\rho_4)\cdots(z-\rho_{n-1})\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}+\cdots+ \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\;\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n-2}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2\cdots(\rho_{n-2}-\nu_{n-3})^2\,(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_{n-2})\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}]+[\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_5}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\oint dz\,(\rho_5-\nu_4)^2\cdots \\
& (\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\,\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_5)\cdots(z-\rho_{n+1})}+\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_4}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_5}\cdots\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n-1}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}}\cdot \\
& \oint dz\,(\rho_4-\nu_3)^2\,(\rho_5-\nu_4)^2\cdots(\rho_{n-1}-\nu_{n-2})^2\,(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\cdot \\
& \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_4)\,(z-\rho_5)\cdots(z-\rho_{n-1})\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}+\cdots+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n-3}}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}} \oint dz\,(\rho_2-\nu_1)^2 \cdots (\rho_{n-3}-\nu_{n-4})^2\,(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2 \cdot$$

$$\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_{n-3})\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}] + \cdots +$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho_{n+1}} \oint dz\,(\rho_{n+1}-\nu_n)^2\,\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_{n+1})}\}\cdot \tag{F.3}$$

It is easy to show the following:

i)

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,(z-\rho_2)} = 1, \tag{F.4}$$

and **ii)**

$$\oint dz\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_n)} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad n>2\,\cdot \tag{F.5}$$

writing

$$\frac{1}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_n)} = \frac{1}{\det\Delta}\left[\frac{A_{n,1}}{z-\rho_1}+\frac{A_{n,2}}{z-\rho_2}+\cdots+\frac{A_{n,n}}{z-\rho_n}\right], \tag{F.6}$$

with

$$\Delta = \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & ... & 1 \\ -\sum_{i=1,\,i\neq1}^{n}\rho_i & -\sum_{i=1,\,i\neq2}^{n}\rho_i & \cdots & -\sum_{i=1,\,i\neq n}^{n}\rho_i \\ \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n,\,i,j\neq1}^{n}\rho_i\rho_j & \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n,\,i,j\neq2}^{n}\rho_i\rho_j & \cdots & \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n,\,i,j\neq n}^{n}\rho_i\rho_j \\ -\sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n,\,i,j,k\neq1}^{n}\rho_i\rho_j\rho_k & -\sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n,\,i,j,k\neq2}^{n}\rho_i\rho_j\rho_k & \cdots & -\sum_{1\leq i<j<k\leq n,\,i,j,k\neq n}^{n}\rho_i\rho_j\rho_k \\ . & . & & . \\ . & . & & . \\ . & . & & . \\ (-1)^{n-1}\rho_2\rho_3...\rho_n & (-1)^{n-1}\rho_1\rho_3\rho_4...\rho_n & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1}\rho_1\rho_2...\rho_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{F.7}$$

$A_{i,j}$ denotes the cofactor of the element $\Delta_{i,j}$. Let us note that, multiplying by $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\rho_i$ the first row and adding to the second row of the matrix Δ, the $\det\Delta$ and the cofators $A_{n,i}$ do not change; then (F.6), can be written as

$$\frac{1}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_n)} = \frac{1}{\det d}\left[\frac{B_{n,1}}{z-\rho_1}+\frac{B_{n,2}}{z-\rho_2}+\cdots+\frac{B_{n,n}}{z-\rho_n}\right] \tag{F.8}$$

where $\det\Delta = \det d$ and $A_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$, $B_{i,j}$ are the cofactors of the matrix elements $d_{i,j}$. It is easy to realize that the matrix d has $d_{2,i} = \rho_i$ as the elements of the second row. Then the contour integration of the relevant terms of (F.8) is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint dz\frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\,...\,(z-\rho_n)} = \frac{1}{\det d}\left[\rho_1 B_{n,1}+\rho_2 B_{n,2}+\cdots+\rho_n B_{n,n}\right].$$

We know that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{l,j}B_{k,j} = 0, \qquad l\neq k$$

and therefore, we can conclude

$$\oint dz \frac{z}{(z-\rho_1)\cdots(z-\rho_n)} = 0, \qquad \text{for } n > 2 \tag{F.9}$$

Thus, the last term of C_n vanishes due to (F.4) and the remaining terms vanish because of (F.5). Then $C_n = 0$ for $n > 1$, and the Eqn.(F.2) becomes

$$I_{n+1} = I_n, \qquad n > 1,$$

this shows that

$$I_n = 1 \qquad n \geq 1.$$

References

[1] V.E. Zakharov and A.B. Shabat, *Sov. Phys. JETP Lett.* **34** (1972) 62.

[2] S.V. Manakov, *Sov. Phys. JETP Lett.* **38** (1974) 248.

[3] A.V. Mikhailov, E.A. Kuznetsov, A.C. Newell and V.E. Zakharov (Eds.), Proceedings of the Conference on *The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Chernogolovka, 25 July-3 August 1994. Published in *Physica* **D87** (1995) 1.

[4] F.P. Zen and H.I. Elim, solv-int/9902010 v2.

[5] L.A. Ferreira, J. L. Miramontes and J. Sánchez Guillen, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 882 (hep-th/9606066).

[6] V.S. Gerdjikov, D.J. Kaup, I.M. Uzunov and E.G. Evstatiev, *Phys. Rev.* **E77** (1996) 3943.

[7] H.S. Blas, unpublished manuscript.

[8] Shan-liang Liu and Wen-zheng Wang, *Phys. Rev.* **E48** (1993) 3054

[9] O. Babelon and D. Bernard, Phys. Lett. 260B (1991) 81; O. Babelon and D. Bernard, Commun. Math. Phys. 149 (1992) 279; O. Babelon and D. Bernard, Int. Jour. of Mod. Phys. A,V.8, No.3(1993) 507.

[10] A.P. Fordy and P.P. Kulish, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **89** (1983) 427;
A.P. Fordy, in *Soliton Theory: a Survey of Results*, (ed. A.P. Fordy) University Press, Manchester (1990), p. 315.

[11] H. Aratyn, J.F. Gomes and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995) 3419.

[12] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, *Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Solitons*, Springer-Verlag, London, p. 288 (1987).

[13] V.G. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Third Ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[14] A.N. Leznov and A.V. Razumov, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 1738.

[15] P.G. Drazin and R.S. Johnson, *Solitons: an introduction*, Cambridge, University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[16] H. Konno and P.S. Lomdahl, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **63** (1994) 3967;
J.N. Maki and T. Kodama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 2097.

[17] R. A. Kraenkel, J.G. Pereira and M.A. Manna, The reductive perturbative method and the KDV hierarchy in “Proc. of the Conference KdV' 95”, The Netherlands 1995.

[18] P. Goddard and D. Olive, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A1** (1986) 303.

[19] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, *Exceptional hierarchies of soliton equations* in “Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics” Vol. 49 (1989) 191.

[20] Wan Zhe-xian, *Introduction to KAC-MOODY ALGEBRA*, World Scientific, 1991.

[21] L.A. Ferreira, J.L. Miramontes and J. Sánchez Guillen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B449** (1995) 631.

[22] J. Lepowsky and R.L. Wilson, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **62** (1978) 43;
V. G. Kac, D.A. Kazhdan, J. Lepowsky and R.L. Wilson, *Advances in Math.* **42** (1981) 83;
F. ten Kroode and J. van de Leur, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **137** (1991) 67;
I.B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, in “Mathematical Aspects of String Theory ”, Adv. Series in Math. Phys. Vol.1. S.T. Yau (Ed.), World Scientific, 1987;
A.J. Feingold, in “Vertex Operators in Math. and Phys.”, J. Lepowsky, S. Mandelstam and I.M. Singer (Eds.) Math. Sciences Research Inst. Publications. Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[23] V.G. Kac and J.W. van de Leur, in ”Important Developments in Soliton Theory ”, A.S. Fokas and V.E. Zakharov (Eds.) Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1993) p. 302.

[24] I.B. Frenkel and V.G. Kac, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980) 28.

[25] H. Steudel, R. Meinel and G. Neugebauer, *J. Math. Phys.* **38** (1997) 4692.